EVIDENCE GATHERED FOR THE REPORT

of

THE ROYAL COMMISSION

PETER W. MCHUGH
SPECIAL EXAMINER
Chartered Shorthand Reporter THE FAILURE

of

ATLANTIC ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION LIMITED

EXAMINATION OF C.P. MORGAN

Date of interview: January 26, 1966

Exhibit Number: 3674

This document is located at: The Archives of Ontario 134 Ian Macdonald Boulevard Toronto, Ontario M7A 2C5 Canada

Scanned September 14, 2022 94 pages

Thank you to the following sponsors for bringing this document to light:

David Guiot pp. 1-2 Elmer Horvath pp. 3-40 pp. 41-55 Sandy Noble pp. 56-62 Rowan Stewart pp. 63-94 Gary Lake

Preservation of this documentation was funded in February 2022 by: https://www.gofundme.com/f/help-uncover-commodore-history Organized by Brian Bagnall and Dave McMurtrie.

Note: Neither this cover page, nor the watermark attributions at the bottom of each scanned page, nor the end sheet acknowledgements are part of the original ROYAL COMMISSION document.

ATLANTIC ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION LIMITED

EXHIBIT No. 3674

PER JU 17 1.67.
REGISTRAR DATE

PETER W. MCHUGH SPECIAL EXAMINER
Chartered Shorthand Reporter TORONTO - 364-4068

ONTARIO

In The SUPREME Court Of ONTARIO

IN BANKRUPTCY

BEEMBER

IN THE MATTER OF THE BANKRUPTCY OF ASSOCIATED CANADIAN HOLDINGS LIMITED, of the City of Toronto, County of York, Province of Ontario.

PALAND.

defendant

EXAMINATION OF

C.P. MORGAN

- ☐ FOR DISCOVERY
- ON AFFIDAVIT
- AS JUDGMENT DEBTOR
 DE BENE ESSE

TAKEN

January 26th, 19 66.

ROYAL COMMISSION

ATLANTIC ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION LIMITED

EXHIBIT No. 3674

PER JULIAN 17 1. 67.
REGISTRAR DATE

Royal Commission on Atlantic Acceptance Corporation,

454 University Avenue, Toronto.

JP:sp1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ONTARIO 2 IN BANKRUPTCY 3 IN THE MATTER OF THE BANKRUPTCY OF ASSOCIATED 4 CANADIAN HOLDINGS LIMITED, of the City of 5 Toronto, County of York, Province of Ontario. 6 7 8 9 10 This is the examination of CAMPBELL 11 12 POWELL MORGAN, under Section 121 of the Bankruptcy Act, 13 taken in shorthand before Peter W. McHugh, C.S.R., 14 Special Examiner, at his Chambers uite 802 the Victory 15 Building, 80 Richmond Street West, Toronto, Ontario, on 16 the 26th day of January, 1966. 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 D.E. BAIRD, ESQ., for the Trustee. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

<u>30</u>

. 1	1	
1		
2		CAMPAGE S STORMER CONTRACTOR
3		CAMPBELL POWELL MORGAN, SWOTS.
4	***	
5	BY MR. BAIRD:	
6	1 9.	Hr. Morgan, what is your full name?
7	A	Campbell Powell Morgan.
8	5 d .	Were you a shareholder of Associated
9	Canad	ian Holdings Limited?
10	Α,	I was.
11	3 Q.	What was the purpose for the incorpora-
12	tion	of Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?
13	Α.	It was incorporated as a holding company
14	w1th	diversified interest primarily to retain
15	contz	ol for as long as possible of the shares of
16	Commo	dore Business Machines (Canada) Limited.
17	It Q.	Why would the incorporation of this
18	r Ompa	my allow you to retain control of the
19	share	e of Commodore Business Machines?
20	۸.	Well, at the time the people went
21	into	Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
22	repre	sented I am not cartain whether they
23		sented majority control, but they
24	.	sented substantial control of Commedore
25		ess Machises.
26		Now would the interporation of this
	5	
27	09104	my allow this control to be maintained?
28	A.	In other words, by making this company
29	inte	a holding company and the shares of
30		

Commodore Business Machines were put into
Associated in return for common shares and
preferred shares of Associated. This would
mean that Associated would have a large block
of Commodore stock. This block, at the time
of its incorporation, represented control of
the Board and the affairs of Commodore Business
Machines.

- Q. So it would appear to be transferring control from the individual persons who owned the shares of Commodore Business Machines to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?
- A. That's right.
- Q. And the same people would then control
 Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?
- A. Well, there were a number of individuals who were not active in any wayin the business of either Associated or Commodore and those persons had agreed to put their shares into Associated.

 By having this block of stock in Associated, this would mean control of the affairs of Commodore Business Machines.
- Holdings Limited contains some minutes of meeting of the Board of Directors of Associated Canadian Holdings Edmited held on February 13th, 1964.

 The minutes record that a resolution was passed whereby one common share and One-half preference share of Associated Canadian Holdings Limited

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	9
9	
10	
11	
12	Approximate and the second sec
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	10
24	~~~
25	
26	11
27	
28	
29	

were to be issued in turn for the transfer of
one common phare of Commodore Business Machines
(Canada) Minited to Associated Canadian Holdings
Limited. More you aware of this arrangement?
A. Yes, because I turned in my shares of
Commodore for those common shares and oreferred
shares of Associated which shares I still hold.
Q. I would like to show you the minutes
of this meeting which will be Exhibit 1 to this
examination and refer you to the list of the
persons to whom preference shares and common
shares of Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
were to be issued. This included the name
C. Powell Morgan and Mildred Morgan. The
minutes indicate that you would have turned over
52,237 shares of Commodore Business Machines
(Canada) Limited to Associated Canadian Holdings
Limited in return for 26,118 preference shares
and 52,237 common shares of Associated Canadian
Holdings Limited. Is that correct?
A. That is correct. I have those certifi-
cates in my possession.
Q. You have the certificates referred to
in the minutes in your possession?
A. That's right.
Q. Does this also apply to your wife,
Kildred Morgan?

Yes.

Q. Did she receive 7500 preference shares
This page generously brought to you by Elmer Horvath

A.

1		of Associated Canadian Holdings Limited and
2	1	15,000 common shares?
3		A. She did.
4	13	Q. Did she transfer 15,000 common shares
5		of Commodore Business Machines (Canada) Limited
6		to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?
7		A. She did.
8	14	Q. Did you transfer 52,237 common share
9	ĺ	of Commodore Business Machines to Associated
10	i. i.	Canadian Holdings Limited?
11		A. I did.
12	1 5	Q. What were the mechanics of this transfer?
13		Where were the shares at this time before they
14		were transferred in o the name of Associated
15		Canadian Holdings Limited?
16		A. They were coming out of I don't
17		know the exact dates, but I think they were
18		coming out of escrow or had just come out of
19		escrow and they were on deposit with the trust
20		company or they were in our own personal
21		possession at that time.
22		
23	EXHIBI	T NO. 1: Minutes of meeting of Board of Director
24		held February 13th, 1964.
25		
26	BY MR. BA	
27		Q. Mr. Morgan, I would like to show you
28		a handwritten summary which will be Exhibit 2
29		in this examination. Would you examine this
30		summary and tell me if you prepared it?

Associated.

1	22	Q. What does M.K. represent?
2		A. I would think that represents Manny
3		Kapp.
4	23	Q. Does tha mean Manny Kapp held 49,349
5		shares of Commodore Business Machines?
6		A. He had all of those.
7	24	Q. There are various initials opposite
8		each of the numbers?
9		A. That M. K. means Kapp and B.G. is
10		Barrett, Goodfellow.
11	2 5	O. Does that mean there were 24,674
12		shares in the possession of Barrett, Goodfellow?
13		A Yes.
14	26	Q. And then there is an item 1937 which
15		is circled. What does the fact it is circled
16		mean? Why was it circled?
17		A. Those have been I was trying to
18		remember. I would think this is stock that had
19		been sold by them that was not going to go into
20		Associated
21	27	Q. If you deduct the amount that is
22		circled, you arrive at a closer figure to the
23		amount actually shown to be put into Associated
24		Canadian Holdings Limited. If you deduct the
25		1,937 from your total of 89,293, you arrive
26		at 97,356 and Mr. Kapp is shown to have received
27		credit for 84,356, a difference of 3,000 shares?
28		A. That is those 3,000 which apparently
29		went to a fellow by name of Edelstein.
. н	ı	

1	28	Q.	Therefore he was not entitled
2		Α.	He didn't put them in.
3	29	Q	He didn't put it into Associated Canadia
4		Holdings	Limited?
5		A.	No.
6	30	Q.	What are the initials H.W. What does
7		that rep	resent?
8		A.	That is Harry Wagman.
9	31	© ,.	To which of the numbers of shares
10		does H.W	. refer?
11		A.	1,937 with the circle.
12	32	Q.	Can you tell me from this memorandum
13		where th	e 13,333 shares were?
14		A.	They were part of this transaction
15		in conne	ction with Bill Streit.
16	33	Q.	You are referring to a notation on
17		the seco	nd page where it says W. Streit?
18		A.	Right.
19	34	Q.	And you have a number 3 here on the
20		first pe	ge under 13,333 and Mr. M. Kapp and you
21		have the	number 3 under the W. Streit?
22		A.	That's correct.
23	35	Q.	Are those the same shares?
24		A.	The same shares. In other words,
25		the shar	es which were purchased from Streit
26		nere re-	located to the people in the ratio that
27		the smal	l members were shown as I recall, under
28		W. Strei	t's name.
29	36	Q.	Why were the shares purchased from

1		Mr. Streit, or shown as being belonging to
2		Mr Kapp?
3		. That was his proportion of the trans-
4		action.
5	37	Q. I understand there were originally
6		70,000 shares purchased from Mr. Streit and
7		7,000 were transferred into the names of
8	1	individuals not referred to in the minutes,
9	:	and there was a balance of 63,000?
10		A. Which were still in escrow and that
11	1' : :	is the re-distribution of that 63,000.
12	33	Q. Why was Mr. Kapp entitled to a portion
13		of the 63,000 shares?
14	ton or transmission	A. Why was he?
15	39	ର୍, Yes?
16		A. Because when I purchased the shares
17		from Mr. Streit, it was agreed that they would
18		be divided amongst those individuals.
19	40	Q. You have an apportionment here of the
20		63,000 shares apportioned into five amounts.
21		For my cross-reference, I understand 15,000
22		are shown belonging to Mr. Wagman, 15,000 to
23		Mr. William Walton, and 13,333 to Mr. Kapp,
24		13,333 to Mr. Jack Traniel, and 6,334 are
25		recorded under your name. Is that correct?
26		A. That's correct.
27	41	Q. Did Mr. Kapp pay you for those shares?
28		A. I think eventually he did pay for them.
29		I am not certain.
30	I	This page generously brought to you by Elmer Horvath

1	42	Q. Do you know how he paid for them?
2		A. You will have to check with Wagman
3		who looked - whoever looked after the books
4		of Associated to make certain this was paid.
5		I do know Mr. Tramiel and Mr. Kapp had promised
6		to pay for those shares. Whether or not they
7		eventually did in settlement, I don't know. I
8		lost control over Associated about that time and
9		I have seen or had no particular dealings with
10		the corporation for the past year.
11	43	Q. Did Mr. Kapp ever pay you personally
12		for those shares?
13		A. Oh no.
14	44	Q. Did Mr. Tramial ever pay you for the
15		13,333 shares shown to his credit?
16		A. No, he did not.
17	45	Q. Do you know if he paid Associated
18		Canadian Holdings Limited or anyone slae for
19		those shares?
20		A. Same answer as I gave in connection
21		with Mr. Kapp.
22	46	Q. Did Mr. Wagman pay you for the 15,000
23		shares to his credit?
24		A. Yes, he did.
25	47	Q. Did he pay you personally?
26		A. Yes, he did.
27	48	Q. How much did he pay you?
28		A. I am not cemmin but I think it was
29		in the neighbourhood of \$1.00 a share.
30		•

1	49	Q. Why did he pay you personally for
2		the shares?
3		A. Because I laid out the money for it.
4	50	Q. Did Mr. Walton pay you for the 15,000
5		shares?
6		A. Well, the same answer for Mr. Walton, yes.
7	51.	Q. He haid you personally \$15,000.00?
8		A. That's wight.
9	52	Q. I have questioned Mr. hagman concerning
10		the purchase of the shares and he said he has
11		no recollection of ever having paid you for the
12		shares. He has stated in his examination that
13		he was allotted 15,000 shares of which he was
14		supposed to get some free, and some he was to
15		pay a dollar for.
16		A. Well, as far as I am concerned he paid
17		for them. I can't be certain that he paid them
18		in actual cash. It was settled for that, or
19		services rendered, but the dollar was the right
20		figure.
21	53	Q. I asked him specifically
22		"Did you pay for any of them?
23		A. Wo."
24		He also, when I asked him I questioned Mr.
25		Wagman as to whether he rendered services to
26		you. I asked him
27		"For whom were the services rendered?
28		A. Well, it would be for him. He
29		thought I was entitled to seem about

I didn't even question him why. He
said, 'Listen, I am giving them to you.
I feel you are entitled to them. In
fact, we didn't even designate how many
I was supposed to get. I don't recall.
Q. You didn't apportion what were to
be free and what were to be paid for?
A. No.
Q. Did you pay for any of them?
A. No"
Is this true?
A. I would have to read that again.
Q. I asked him specifically -
"Did you pay for any of them?
A. No.
He also, when I asked him - I question Mr
Wagman as to whether he rendered services to
you. I asked him
"For whom were the services rendered?
A. Well, it would be for him. He
thought I was entitled to extra shares.
I didn't even question him why. He
said 'Listen, I am giving them to you.
I feel you are entitled to them. ' In
fact, we didn't even designate how many
I was supposed to get. I don't recall.
Q. You didn't apportion what were to
be free and what were to be paid for?
A. No.

1		a. Did you pay for any of them?
2		A. No"
3		A. I think he did pay for them, the
4		13,333 and the extra amount to bring it up to
5		15,000 and the same for Mr. Walton. It was for
6		work they had done in connection with Associated
7		Canadian Holdings and Other matters.
8	55	Q. Mr. Morgan, referring back to the
9		statement, Exhibit Number 2, under E. Kapp, there
10		are shown 3900 shares and the initials B.M. & T.
11		What does than mean?
12		A. British Mortgage & Trust.
13	56	Q. Were those shares with British Mortgage
14		& Trust at the time you prepared this list?
15		A. They were.
16	57	Q. Why were they with British Mortgage &
17		Trust?
18		A. I think they were there for additional
19		security for some loans the directors had
20		borrowed in connection with debentures. I
21		think there were some 40,000 shares.
22	58	Q. I am advised in May 1963, 42,725 shares
23		were lodged with British Mortgage & Trust as
24		security for loans, a loan of \$500,000.00 made
25		to Carman G. King, Douglas Annett, C. Powell
26		Morgan, Manfred Kapp, Harry Wagman, and William
27		L. Walton?
28		A. That is the 40,000 shares I was
29		referring to.
30	4	

1	5 9	Q. Why would shares registered in the
2		name of E. Kapp be lodged with British Mortgage
3		& Trust when she did not borrow any money from
4		British Mortgage & Trust?
5		A. Those shares were endorsed by her
6		and were turned in to Associated and were part
7		and belonged to Associated, and Associated had
8		given their common shares and their preferred
9		shares for it.
10	60	Q. But those shares were lodged with the
11		British Mortgage & Trust Company before Associated
12		Canadian Holdings Limited was incorporated?
13		A. That is quite possible.
14	6 1 .	Q. So how could they have been turned over
15		to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited when
16		they were in the possession of British Mortgage
17		& Trust Company prior to the incorporation of
18		Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?
19		A. I don't follow that.
20	62	Q. Those shares were registered in the
21		name of British Mortgage & Trust Company in
22		May 1963?
23		A. They weren't ever registered in the
24		name of British Mortgage & Trust, those shares.
25	63	Q. You are referring to the 42,725 shares?
26		A. Right. They were deposited with the
27		British Mortgage & Trust Company as collateral
28		to these loans. The original number of shares
29		where they came from, or in whose name they
30		i

1		were originally, were, I think, as indicated
2		on my schedule there.
3	64	Q. I would like to go down your schedule
4		where you show 3900 shares being credited to
5		E. Kapp, and then we show 24,675 shares being
6		credited to J. Tramiel being in the possession
7		of British Mortgage & Trust Company. Is that
8		correct?
9		A. That's correct as far as where it came
10		from originally, yes.
11	65	Q. We show H. Tramiel 3900 shares in the
12		possession of British Mortgage & Trust Company?
13		A. Yes.
14	66	Q. We show B. Silberman 2700 shares in
15		the possession of British Mortgage & Trust
16		Company?
17		A. Right.
18	67	Q. And R. Silberman 5500 shares in the
19		possession of British Mortgage & Trust Company.
20		There appears to have been an additional 2,000
21		shares of Commodore Business Machines lodged
22		with British Mortgage & Trust Company. Can you
23		tell me where those shares came front I can
24		find no record of those shares on the memorandum
25		which you have prepared.
26		A. I can't recall it. In any event, a
27		memorandum is only an indication of who are
28		the people originally who had the stock. That
29		memorandum is only a record of where the stock

1	n	was issued in its original form and the fact it
2	1 1 1	was transferred out of the individual's names
3	1	into British Mortgage & Trust was done obviously
4		with the andorsement of the people concerned.
5	63	Q. But do you know where the additional
6		2,000 shares came from?
7	,	A. I do not.
8	69	Q. Did you arrange for this loan to be
9		made by British Mortege & Trust Company to the
10		persons I have mentioned?
11		A. I was a party to it, Mr. Tramiel and
12		Mr. Gregory made the loans to the individual
13		directors and it wasthrough his, I guess, his
14		influence that the loan was made.
15	70	C. Did you negotiate this loan?
16		A. Mr. Tramiel and I and Mr. Gregory did.
17	71	Q. What was the purpose of the loan?
18		A. To put money into Commodore.
19	72	Q. You are referring to Commodore Business
20		Machines (Canada) Limited?
21		A. Yes.
22	73	Q. Did you receive the money, or was
23		the money paid directly by British Mortgage &
24		Trust Company to Commodore Business Machines
25		(Canada) Limited?
26		A. It was paid directly to the individual
27		directors and then paid into the company and the
28		company received all the money because the
29		debentures were issued by the company to the
30		

1		directors and the directors assigned those
2		debentures to British Mortgage & Trust.
3	74	Q. In this list, you have schedule which
4		is Exhibit 2, you have shown Mr Walton entitled
5		to 15,000 shares and on the minutes of meeting
6		there is no record of Mr. Walton receiving any
7		shares of Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?
8		A. I want to make it clear that this
9		memorandum referred to as Schedule 1
10	75	Q. That is Exhibit 2?
11		A. Exhibit 2 was only a document that was
12		prepared to try to ascertain where the shass
13		were and was not data which resulted in the
14		issuance of the shares of Associated. This is
15		not a backing up document.
16	76	Q. This appears to read the words are
17		"To be issued" on top of this document.
18		A. This was only a discussion with Mr.
19		Tramiel.
20	77	Q. This was a statement you prepared in
21		order to determine what shares should be issued
22		by Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?
23		A. Exactly. It was only prepared as
24		an indication of where the shares were and
25		to whom the shares if the transaction went
26		through and if they were issued by Associated.
27		It was not subordinated data to that transaction.
28	78	Q. This shows it was proposed Mr. Walton
29		would transfer 15,000 shares of Committee

1		Business Machines to Associated Canadian
2		Holdings Linked. That was the Original
3		proposal. Is that correct?
4		A. That was the original proposal he was
5		to get that amount, yes.
6	79	Q. And it appears that Mr. Tramiel gave
7		a direction to C.M. Solomon, on February 13th,
8	;	1964 which included Mr. Walton's name as being
9)	entitled to 7500 preferred shares and 15,000
10)	common shares of Associated Canadian Holdings
11		Limited?
12	1	A. Did he not get them?
13	30	Q. Subsequently, Mr. Tramiel - I have
14		a photostatic copy of letter Mr. Tramiel sent
15		to Mr. Solomon setting out a new list of the
16		shareholders and the amount of shares to be
17		issued and Mr. Walton was not included in
18		this list. Can you tell me the reason why
19		Mr. Walton would have been in the original
20		proposal and subsequently left out?
21		A. Yes. It was put in now that I
22		see the transaction 7500 shares. It was
23		put in 50% in Harry Wagman's name, and 50%
24		in my name for the benefit we were to
25		account for it to Mr. Walton.
26	81	Q. Why was this dens?
27		A. I think, at that particular time,
28		Mr. Walton was in problems.
29	82	Q. Bid you have 75007

1	Control of the Contro	A. I still hold 7500.
2	33	Q. They are common shares and the 3,750
3		of the preferred?
4		A. I still hold them for Mr. Walton.
5	94	Q. In trust for Mr. Walton?
6		A. I am sorry, right.
7	35	Q. On this memorandum of where the shares
8		are, there is the notation Five Wheels. What
9		is meant by that?
10		A. Do those notations total 100,000 shares
11	36	Q. I haven't totalled them, Mr. Morgan.
12		A. They represent the shares. To answer
13		your question, they represent the shares that
14		went to Five Wheels and Five Wheels purchased
15		their 100,000 shares.
16	37	Q. I understand that in July 1963 Five
17		Wheels Limited purchased 100,000 shares of
18		Cosmodore Business Machines from Associated
19		Canadian Holdings Limited?
20		A. Right, and this was part of that
21		grouping of Associated Canadian and the stock
22		went from those various shareholders to
23		Five Wheels.
24	98	Q. Were the shares actually transferred
25		to Five Wheels Limited?
26		A. On yes.
27	89	Q. The words B. & G., Berrett, Goodfellow,
28		were those shares pledged to Barrett, Geodrellow
29		for loans, or just held by Barrett, Seeffellow?
20		

	1	A. Barrett, Goodfallow is a broker on
	2	the Toronto Stock Exchange and those shares
•	3	were as collateral to Associated Canadian
4	4	account with Berrett, Goodfallow.
į	5 90	Q. On the last page, page 3 of this
(5	memorandum, there were some calculations togethe
	7	with a final balance of \$5,814.00, and it reads
	3	"To be charged to H.W. in trust." Can you tell
9	•	me what is meant by that?
10)	A. So, I can*t.
1	l 91	Q. Is this in your handwriting?
12	2	A. Yes. This was just trying to
13	3	summarize and total the shares which were
14	L	outstanding and try to reconcile them and
15	5 · •	this was only a reconciliation at this time.
16		I can't recall the breakdown of the transaction
17		
18	Off	the record discussion.
19		
20	BY MR.	BAIRD:
21	92	Q. Mr. Morgan, I understand that Messres
22		Tramiel and Kapp sold, or transferred 100,000
23		shares of Commeiore Business Machines to you.
24		Mr. Walton, and Mr. Wagman for 100,000 shame
25		of Dale Retates Limited plus \$50,000.00 cash.
26		Is that correct?
27		A. That's right.
28	93	Q. Mr. Kapp, under examination, has
29	73	
30		indicated that he had no knowledge of this

1	1 :	transaction. Can you advise me as to how the
2	2	transaction was effected and with whom you had
3	3	negotiation?
4	ŀ	A. I negotiated with Mr. Tramiel.
5	5 94	Q. What was the purpose of the transaction?
ć	5	A. The purpose of the transaction was that
7	7	the idea behind Associated was to make it into
8	3	a diversified company and not to put all our eggs
9)	in one basket, to have a substantial investment
10)	in another company besides Commodore Business
11	Ĺ	Machines.
12	95	Q. But I have been advised by Mr. Wagman
13	3	that you subsequently sold those 100,000 shares
14		of Commodore Business Machines to Associated
15		Canadian Holdings Limited. Is that correct?
16		A. Because there had been an arrangement
17		made to purchase 100,000 shares of I think
18		it was then 100,000 shares of Five Wheels for
19		\$750,000 and in order to get shares into the
20		company for this transaction, those shares
21		were sold by Mr. Wagman and Mr. Walton and
22		myself to Associated for \$490,000.00.
23	96	Q. How much did you pay, or what value
24		did you give Mr. Tramial and Kapp for those
25		shares?
26		A. We gave them 100,000 shares of Bale
27		and \$50,000.00 cash.
28	97	q. What was the value placed on 100,000
29		shares of Dale?

1		A. It would be impossible to buy that
2		number of shares on the market. It was a
3		trade of the securities on the basis of one
4		for one plus \$50,000.00.
5	98	Q. In the minutes of Associated Canadian
Ó		Holdings Limited the shares of Commodors Busines
7	,	Machines were valued at \$3.50 each for the purpo
8	3	of issuing the preferred and common shares of
9)	Associated Canadian Holdings Limited. Why 616
10		Associated Canadian Holdings Limited pay you
11		\$4.90 a share for the shares of Commodors
12		Business Machines?
13		A. For the simple reason that to go out
14		and buy that number of shares of Commodore, the
15		market would probably be above that.
16	9 9	Q. Why did you agree that Associated
17		Canadian Holdings Limited would only pay
18		\$3.50 for some shares and \$4.90 for other
19		sheres?
20		A. What was the lapse of time in there?
21	100	Q. The record is at the same time, the
22		same day July 10th 1963?
23		A. I mean in other words, if I recall
24		the transaction, we turned in 100,000 shares of
25		Dale for 100,000 sharesof Commodore and we
26		paid them \$50,000.00 to Trumiel and Empp.
27	101	c. When did you do this? This was a
28		transaction which is not recorded in the becks
29		of Ageociated Canadian Holdings Limited because
30	Thic na	ge generously brought to you by Elmer Horvath

1		it involved Kapp and Tramiel as individuals?
2		A. Yes
3	102	Q. When did this transaction take place?
4		A. This transaction took place I can't
5		give you the exact time but them was a lapse of
6		time in it. It certainly wasn't done on the
7		same day.
8	103	Q. But then on July 10th 1963, the records
9		indicate that the shares we have just been
10		referring to of Commodore Business Machines,
11		215,600 shares were sold by the shareholders
12		to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited for
13		\$3.50 a share?
14		A. Yes, but this negotiation had been
15		in process for several months before that was
16		done. In other words, it had been agreed on
17		in principal.
18	104	Q. But I come back to the same question.
19		On the same day, according to the books, the
20		100,000 shares of Commodors Business Machines
21		were sold by you and Mr. Walton and Mr. Wagman
22		to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited for
23		\$4.90 a share?
24		A. They were subsequently sold on the
25		same day for \$5.00 to Five Wheels.
26	105	Q. That's correct, but there appears to
27		be a profit in this transaction for you. Mr.
28		Walton and Mr. Wagman for \$140,000.000
20		A. I don't see how you may that.
30		

		TORONTO
1	106	Q. If the same shares were worth \$3.507
2		A. I am not certain they were only worth
3		\$3.50.
4	107	Q. Why would shareholders transfer the
5		shares to the company for \$3 50?
6		A. They transferred - the shareholders
7		got under the option, a share of common stock
8		and half a share of preferred.
9	108	Q. But for valuation purposes, they were
10		valued at \$3.50?
11		A. You have to put a valuation on them
12		but in my opinion, the value of the stock was
13		much above that.
14	109	Q. I understand Messrs. Tramiel, Kapp
15		then transferred to Associated Canadian
16		Holdings Limited 100,000 shares of Dale Estates.
17		Limited. Is that correct?
18		A. I believe that was so.
19	110	Q. And at the time they transferred those
20		shares to the company, they were valued at
21		\$3.00 a share. Is that your understanding?
22		A. I understood that they got half a
23		share a share of common and half a share of
24		preferred based on that valuation which was
25		the same measuring stick that was used for the
26		shareholders when they purchased Commodors.
27	111	Q. Why would they be prepared to accept
28		a valuation of \$300,000.00 on the Bale Estate
29		shares plus the \$50,000.00 cash when you receive
20		

1		\$490,000.00 for the shares which they had sold
2		to you?
3		A. You will have to ask Mr. Tramiel that.
4	112	Q. I have asked Mr. Tramiel about the
5		transaction. I asked Mr. Tramiel about the
6		transaction we have just been discussing. I
7		asked him
8		"What was the reason for the transaction?
9		A. So Mr. Morgan could make some
10		additional money.
11		Q. How could be make some additional
12		money?
13		A. I don't know exactly but I know
14		we sold for less and bought for more.
15		Q. I don't understand it, Mr. Tramiel.
16		A. I don't understand it myself.
17		Q. What did you sell for less?
18		A. Commodore Business Machines stock.
19		Q. At what price did you sell them?
20		A. I don't recall at the present time.
21		Q. Have you any record which would
22		show the price at which you sold them?
23		A. I don't have a record.
24		Q. Vere you the owner of the shaes
25		when they were sold?
26		A. I never had the shares in my
27		possession.
28		Q. Where were the shares?
29		A. Mr. Morgan held them.

1	Q. Why did he hold them?
2	A. I have told you this before, Mr.
3	Morgan had all the shares in trust and
4	they were never released.
5	Q. Mr. Norgan has told me he has
6	no recollection of holding any of your
7	shares of Commodore Business Machines.
8	A. Well, I would definitely like to
9	say I didn't deliver them to him because
10	I did not have them.
11	Q. Why did you receive shares of
12	Dale Estates Limited in return.
13	A. I have repeated, I have said it
14	before because Mr. Morgan wanted to make
15	some extra money.
16	Q. I don't understand your answer,
17	Mr. Tramiel.
18	A. Commodore shares were sold below
19	the market price and Dale Estates was
20	bought above market price. Mr. Morgan
21	Owned Dale shares and that is the
22	reason he made additional amount of
23	profit."
24	Is that true, Mr. Morgan?
25	A. No, that is not true.
26	113 Q. How much did you pay for the Dale
27	Estate shares?
28	A. I can't excertain but I would think
29	they were somewhere around \$3.00.

1	114	Q. Mr Tramiel has said Dale Estate shares
2		were sold to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
3		at above the normal market price. Is that
4		true?
5		A. No, I don't think so. In other words,
6		there was never any sale of Dale shares to
7		Associated Canadian Holdings Limited as such.
8		If the minutes of Associated say they were
9	+	exchanged for a certain number of shares of
10		common and a certain number of shares of
11		preferred
12	11 5	Q. The minutes of Associated Canadian
13		Holdings Limited make no reference to the Dale
14		Estate shares. They only refer to the shareholders
15	t.	transferring shares of Commodore Business Machines
16	1 1 1	to the company. The books of account indicate
17	to many - management	that Dale Estate shares plus \$50,000.00 was
18		received in lieu of receiving common shares of
19		Commodore Business Machines?
20		A. No. In Other words the transaction
21		was this that the shares of Dale went into
22		the company for the issuance of preferred and
23		common shares of Associated. Is that not true?
24	116	Q. That is true.
25		A. Themfore Associated exchanged their
26		shares for Dale Estate shares.
27	117	Q. But for the purpose of the transaction
28		Associated Canadian Holdings' shares were valued
29		at \$3.507
30	Thica	nage generought brought to you by Elmor Herrinth

		l l
1		A. You have to put a value on them to
2		make your credits to your issued capital stock.
3	118	Q. That is correct, and this would put
4		a value of \$300,000.00 on the Dale Estate shares.
5		Mr. Tramiel said this was above the normal market
6		price.
7		A. How would Mr. Tramiel know of the buing
8		the 100,000 shares of Dale. If you went into the
9		market to buy 100,000 shares of Dale Estates,
10		the price would probably have been \$9.00
1 1	11 9	Q. Do you know what the normal market price
12		for those shares were?
13		A. They were level at that time at approx-
14		imately between \$2.39, or \$2.35, something like
15	000000	that.
16	120	Q. Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
17		indicate they issued a cheque for \$490,000.00
18		which was deposited in the Quaranty Trust Account
19		13324. What was this account?
20		A. This was operated by Mr. Walton because
21		this transaction represented a transaction between
22		Mr. Walton, Mr. Wagman and myself.
23	121	Q. Did you, Mr. Walton and Mr. Wagman have
24		a syndicate for investment purposes?
25		A. Not necessarily. I wouldn't call it
26		that, no.
27	155	Q. What would you call it?
28		A. We went into a number of transactions
29		on the basis where each of us took a third but
30		

1		this was	not a general practice.
2	123	Q.	Was this the number through which this
3		account i	was handled, 13324?
4		Α.	This is where the transaction for the
5		490,000	shares were han dled. I was not a signin g
6		authority	y on that account.
7	124	Q.	Who were signing authorities on that
8		account?	
9		Λ,	I don't know. You will have to ask the
10		Guaranty	Trust
11	125	Q.	I would like to show you a handwritten
12		statemen	t which I will enter as Exhibit 3 to
13		this exa	mination. Can you tell me whether you
14		prepared	this statement?
15		A.	This is my handwriting. I am just
16		looking a	at it, yes.
17			
18	EXHIB	IT NO. 3:	Handwritten statement representing reconciliation of stock.
19			recommended of Block*
20		8 T T T T A	
21	BY MR. B.	YTMI	
22	126	Q.	What does this statement represent,
23		Mr. Morg	an?
24		A.	This is a reconciliation of the
25		stock, w	here it was, and my attempt to find
26		out where	e the various items of stock were.
27	127	Q.	The first item deals with 100,000
28		Dala Est	ate shares and \$50,000.00 cash. Those
29		were the	shares of Dale Matates and \$50,000.00
30			
- 4			

1		cash, whi	ch was transferred to Associated
2			Holdings Limited by Messrs. Tamiel
3			Is that correct?
4	Light was the same of the same	Α,	Correct.
5	15 3	Q .	Item 2 is 100,000 shares of Commodors
6		Business	Machines. Were those shares that were
7		Bold by M	lessrs. Tramiel & Kapp to you, Mr.
8		Walton &	Mr. Wagman and then sold to Five Wheels
9		Limited?	
10		A.	I thought they were just a cross-
11		indicatio	on of those shares.
12	129	6.	This is what I mean?
13		Λ.	Yes, I think that's right.
14	130	Q.	Were those the shares which were
15		eventuall	y sold to Five Wheels Limited?
16		Α.	Those were you see those are the
17		1ssuance	of common shares and preferred shares
18		of	
19	131	ଦ .	Why are they broken down in this
20		fashion?	Why do you arrive at the figure of
21		\$100,000.	007
22		Α.	Those are not dollars, those are
23		shares.	
24	132	Q.	Shares of what company?
25		A.	Those are the shares of A.C.H. new
26		stock, co	maon and preferred - 7500, 15,000,
27		7500, 15,	000, 13, 333, 4,667, 10,000, 25,602.
28	133	Q.	Why are they set up in this fashion?
29		What doth	ey represent?
30	Thia	nage gener	nuely brought to you by Elmer Horszeth

1		A. There is the amount of \$2,000.00 you
2		were worrying about before.
3	134	Q. I just realized that. Do those
4		represent 100,000 shares of Commodore Business
5		Machines?
6		A. Those are the stock on account, and
7		his is the common and preferred stock of
8		A.C.H. issued for those shares.
9	135	Q. Those are the amount of shares issued
10		to A.C.H., the amount of shares A.C.H. issued?
11		A. Issued to those various individuals.
12	136	Q. To the various individuals on the
13		list totalling \$100,000.00?
14		A. 100,000 shares. This is only the
15		reconciliation of the shares, to reconcile the
16		issuance of the common shares. This should in
17		some way tie into the original except in connection
18		with the Associated Canadian Holdings, the issuance
19		of their stock.
20	137	Q. The second item A.C.H. received from
21		British Mortgage 42,725. We have previously
22		discussed this but there is an additional item
23		C.P. Morgan 2,000. Does that mean 2,000 shares
24		are lodged with British Mortage & Trust?
25		A. Original stock which was in my name
26		and came over to Associated in return for 2,000
27		shares of common stock.
28	138	Q. Would you then say this is a correct
29		summary of the pareons who were owners of the

shares lodged with British Mortgage & Trust Company?

- A. Those are the original owners of the stock in whose names they were in. In other words, a certificate in name of Estelle Kapp endorsed by her for 3900 and one for Jack Tramiel for 24,000, and one for Helen Tramiel for 3900, one for B. Silberman and one in my name of 2,000 who are the individuals who originally held A.C.E. shares endorsed and turned over to British Mortgage & Trust Company. I turned them over out of those individual's names to their own names as collateral security for the directors' loan on the debenture
- 139 Q. Those were the registered owners of the shares. Were they the actual owners?

 A. They must have been. I think this

must tie in there with the issuance of the

common of Associated.

140 Q. Item 4 A.C.H. receives from C.M.S What does that mean, Mr. Morgan?

A. Yes, from C.M. Solomon.

- Q. Does this mean Mr. Solomon was holding certain shares?
 - A. Mr. Solomon held all of this stock in his office.
- Q. On item 5, I interpret to be A.C.H in Germany. Is that correct?
 - A. Yes. They sent 48,000 shares of Commodore

1		that they could really introduce Commodore to
2		the European market.
3	149	Q. Was this agreement with Associated
4		Canadian Holdings Limited?
5		A. Yes, it was with Associated Canadian
6		Moldings Limited. It wasn't a written agreement.
7		Just a general agreement.
8	150	Q. Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
9		appears to have suffered a considerable loss as
10		a result of this agreement?
11	The second secon	A. Well, Associated Canadian Holdings
12		Limited felt the important thing was to get
13		additional European shareholders and the
14		introduction of Commodore stock in the European
15		market would make it possible because there was
16		some thought, at that particular time, to do
17		some financing in Germany on the Willy Feiler
18		factory.
19	151	Q. Was this effective, to improve the
20		price of shares of Commodore Business Machines?
21		A. The idea was, we falt by getting an
22		interest Overseas and having a substantial
23		shareholding in Europe, the price would automati-
24		cally improve.
25	52	Q. There is a very poor photostatic copy
26		of certain notations on the second page of Exhibit
27		Mumber 3. Can you tell me whether they are in
28		your handwriting?
29		A. Yes, this is in my handwriting. I was
30		This page generously brought to you by Elmer Horvath

1		trying to make it out but it is illegible.
2	53	Q. I can only make out certain of the
3		notations?
4		A. It looks like an attempt to show the
5		transaction in connection with the Dale.
6	.54	Q. It appears to me the notation is
7		set up to determine how the 100,000 shares of
8		Dale Estates would be acquired by you, Mr.
9		Walton, and Mr. Magman. Is that correct?
10		A. Well, it is some scribblings along
11		that line but I can t make it out without
12		getting a proper
13	.55	Q. Mr. Tramiel has said, Mr. Morgan, that
14		you held all his shares of Commodore Business
15		Machines. Is that true?
16		A. I never held any of his shares. They
17		were all held in Solomon's office with his
18		permission, with his control, and his consent.
191	56	Q. They were not held by Mr. Solomon for
20		you, or any companies you represent?
21		A. No. I think they were all held in
22		his office for the company. In other words,
23		there was a long delay in the issuance of the
24		stock. I don't know why but it was all under
25		his control except for the shares that were up
26		for security either with Barrett, Goodfellow or
27		with British Mortgage & Trust. I didn't
28		personally hold them. They were in Solomon's
29		office.

	57 Q. I am concerned with where the shares
:	were held before Annociated Canadian Holdings
3	Limited was incorporated?
4	A Nost of the stock of - are you asking
ţ	about Commodore Business Machines?
(58 Q. Stock of Commodore Business Machines.
;	A. Nost of the shares were in the hands
8	of in other words, were in escrow. There
9	were only a limited number of shares which were
10	free and Mr Solomon kept all the records with
11	regard to the stock. He held Mrs. Kapp's,
12	he had my stock. He had eventually all of the
13	stock when it came out of ascrow was all released
14	at One time.
15	59 Q. Mr. Morgan, I would like to deal
16	specifically with the 63,000 shares listed on
17	Exhibit 1 as being purchased from W. Streit.
18	I would like to show you a photostatic copy
19	of letter which appears to have been written
20	by Solomon, Singer & Rosen to Mr. Harry Wagman.
21	This will be Exhibit 4 in this exemination.
22	It appears all those 63,000 shares were trans-
23	ferred into the name of Harry Wagman in trust.
24	Can you tell me why this was denet
25	A. Well, because they were to be divided
26	between Welton, Wagman, Tramiel, Eapp, and myself.
27	io Q. I understand you originally had an
28	agreement with Mr. William Strait concerning
29	_

Thistheg?0,000 chares ougle that corrects Horvath

i	۸.	That is correct.
2		
3	EXHIBIT NO. 41	Photostatic copy of letter from Solomon, Singar & Rosen to Mr. Wagman.
4		
5	MY MR. BAIRD:	
ő ~	161 d°	This agreement was in your name?
7 8	Α.	Right.
9	162 Q.	hat was the amount of the purchase
10	price fo	r the 70,000 shares?
11	A.	I think it was \$70,000.00.
12	1 63 Q.	Can you tell me how you paid Mr.
13	Streit f	or the purchase of the shares?
14	1	Was it paid through Solomon in trust?
15		I would like to show you a cheque,
16		tic copies of two cheques. The first
17		Exhibit 5 dated June 20th 1962, pay to
18		r of C. William Streit \$29,430.28. Do
19		whether this cheque represents part of the \$70,000.00?
20	A.	· · · · ·
21	,	I don't know. I would say it would. I ink Mr. Solomon had any other trans-
22		with Mr. Streit.
23	MC LIVES	MINITURE NOTATOL
24	exhibit no. 5t	Photostatic copy of cheque dated
25	EXHIBIT NO. 5t	Frotostatic copy or cheque dated June 20th 1962 in the amount of \$29,430.28.
26		\$23 430. E0.
27	ov is bayun:	
28	BY MR. BAINDI	I would like to show you as Mchibit 6
27	165 q.	- The second control of the second of the se
30	This page gener	rously brought to you by Elmer Horvath

1	chaque dated June 20th in the sum of \$40,569.72
2	also paid to the order of C. William Streit re
3	purchase of shares Commodore Business Machines
4	(Canada) Limited drawn by Solomon, Singer & Rosen.
5	A. Those two together make \$70,000.00.
6	
7	EXHIBIT NO. 6: Photostatic copy chaque dated June 20th
8	1902 in the amount of \$40,509.72.
9	BY MR. BAIRD:
10	166 Q. They add up to \$70,000.00, so it appears
11	Mr. Solomon paid Mr. C. William Streit \$70,000.00.
12	Do you know where Mr. Solomon obtained this money?
13	
14	geo to cross 11 at Regiona, of
15	Valley Farm. Someone loaned it to him. 167 Q. I would like to show you a shown on
16	The second of the second secon
17	\$70,000.00 dated June 20th 1962. I would enter
18	this as Exhibit Ember 7 drawn by Valley Farm
19	& Enterprises Limizo to Carl Solomon in trust.
20	It appears from this cheque that Carl Solomon
21	received \$70,000.00 from Valley Farm & Enterprises
22	Limited. Is that correct?
23	A. That's correct.
24	
25	EXHIBIT NO. 7: Cheque dated June 20th 1962 in the amount of \$70,000.00.
26	
27	BY MR. BAIRD:
28	168 Q. Do you know why Valley Farm & Betarprises
29	paid Mr. Selemon \$70,000.00 for those shares?
	n ▼

This page generously brought to you by Elmer Horvath

l	, i	i. I put the option through Valley Farms
3	}	and Valley Furm paid the money to Mr. Streit.
i	NOT	4. Mara Valley Farm been paid for those
þ	, i	T SB/1 Extu
3	·	A. I think they have if you check through.
Q	W.	There is no record of any receipt by
7		Vallay Farm of any money.
8		A. I think they sold them to Aurora for
Q	•	\$94,500 00 and made \$24,500.00 profit on that.
10	117	Q. The chares were never shown as assets
11		of Aurora Loasing. Those shares weren't, as
12		you have outlined it, into Associated Canadian
13		Holdings Limited?
14		A. This was in the final analysis. This
15		was in the first instance they went into Solomon
16		in trust and then into Associated.
17	7.45	Q. But Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
18		have not paid for them. Them is no record of
19		Aurora Leasing Corporation Limited ever receiving
20		any payment for those shares. They don't even
21		show those shares as an asset on their books.
22		A. Were they colleteral on a loan?
23	173	They have never been given to Aurora
34		Leasing. As we have dealt with them, they have
25		gone into Associated Canadian Maldings Limited?
36		A. Correct, but I think you will find
27		those were paid for.
38	to med.	
39	174	Q. I would like to show you a chaque dated
- 11		June 98th 1969 drawn to the ander of Watter Warn

This page generously brought to you by Elmer Horvath

1		& Enterprises by Ausra Leasing Corporation in
2		the amount of \$94,500.00. I would enter this
3		as Exhibit 3. I understand this cheque represents
4	-	the payment to Valley Farm & Enterprises of
5		\$94,500.00 out of which they paid \$70,000.00
6) \	for the purchase of those shares?
7	· •	A. Yes, that's right. The option was
8	· ` }	turned over to Valley Farm and it was a number of
9		shares this amount of morey was borrowed from
10		Aurora.
11	175	Q. By Valley Farm & Enterprises?
12		A. Yes.
13		
14	ЕХН	IBIT NO. 9: Cheque dated June 20th 1962 in the amount of \$94,500.00.
15		4343300.00
16	BY MR.	PATRU:
17		Q. Mr. Morgan, the account ledger of
18	10	Aurora Leasing Corporation Limited shows
19		\$94,500.00 as being a loan to Don Mills?
20		
21		
22	177	Q. But you said the money was borrowed
23		by Valley Farm & Enterprises Limited?
24		A. I said it sust have been, pardon.
25	173	Q. Who did borrow the money?
26		A. I can't recall.
27	179	Q. Mr. Morgan, I would like to show you
28		as Exhibit 9, a photostatic copy of promissory
29		note dated June 20th 1962 in the amount of

1		\$94,500.00 frawn in favour of Aurora Leasing
2		Corporation Limited signed Don Mills and it
3		appears to be signed by Mr. Tramiel and Mr.
4		Kapp. Can you explain to me why Mesers. Kapp
5		and Tramiel would draw a note of \$94,500.00
6		in favour of Aurora Leasing Corporation Limited?
7		A. Is this the same I presume this is
8		the same transaction?
9		
10	EXHIE	IT NO. 9: Photostatic copy promissory note dated June 20th 1962 in the amount of
11		\$94,500.00.
12		
13	BY MR. F	BAIRD:
14	180	Q. Yes, the books of Aurora Leasing
15		Corporation Limited show the money being paid
16		to Valley Farm & Enterprises and also charged
17		on their books to Don Mills?
18		A. I think this is in connection with
19		those shares.
20	181	Q. How are Don Mills involved?
21		A. In other words, this was Mr. Tramiel
22		and Mr. Kapp were the group that were to get the
23		provision of the purchases from Streit on the
24		five ways. It should have been paid back.
25	182	Q. Mr. Tramiel and Kapp denied ever having
26		any intenst in this matter. They say this
27		promissory note was given only because they had
28		already berrowed \$100,000.00 and it was given
29		as additional evidence of the loan of \$100,000.00.
2n	Thi	s page generously brought to you by Sandy Noble

	1	Is that true?
:	2	A. I don't know anything of that thing
;	3	at all. I don't know about the \$100,000.00.
4	183	Q. There was a loan of \$100,000.00 from
	5	Don Mills?
(5	A. The amounts are the same but if the
,	7	transactions are the same, representing the same
8	3	thing, why, I can't understand the answer given
9		by Mr. Tramiel.
10	184	Q. When the 70,000 shares were being purchased
11	L	from Mr. Streit, did you understand Tramiel and
12	2	Kapp were to receive part of the shares?
13	3	A. In other words the shares were in
14		other words, they were to go in in the
15		original distribution, they were re-divided in
16	Transfer of the state of the st	this ratio.
17	185	Q. In this re-distribution, Mr. Kapp
18		was entitled to 33,333 and Mr. Tramiel was
19		entitled to 13,333 shares. Did they agree to
20		pay \$94,500.00 for 26,666 shares of Commodore
21		Business Machinest
22		A. I can't answer that. Just now, I
23		can't recall the transaction.
24	186	Q. Were you a partner in Don Mills?
25		A. No.
26	197	Q. Do you know why Aurora paid \$94,500.00
27	Ť	to Valley Farm & Enterprises?
28		A. I think it was in the form of a loan.
29		This That was my recollection, it bairs. This That generously brought to you by Sanay Noble
		This page generously brought to you by Sandy Noble

,	1 183	Q .	Did you consider it a loan to Don
2	2	Mills, o	r Valley Farm & Enterprises?
3	3	Λ.	I considered it a loan to Valley Farm.
4	189	Q.	Do you know why it was set up in the
5	5	books of	Aurora Leasing Corporation as a loan
(5	ေ o Don M	ills?
7	7	Α.	I do not.
8	190	Q.	I understand the books of Valley Farm
9		& Enterp	rises Limited show this transaction of
10		the sale	of shares to Aurora Leasing Corporation
11		Limited	and they made a profit of \$24,500.00 on
12		th. tran	saction. Is this your understanding from
13		she book	s of Valley Farm & Enterprise Limited?
14		Α.	That is my understanding, right.
15	191	Q.	But there is no record of those shares
16		ever hav	ing been received by Aurora Leasing
17		Corporat	ion.
18		Α.	Were they not collateral to this loan?
19	192	Q.	But you said there was no loan to
20		Don Mill	s?
21		A.	I am asking the question.
22	193	Q.	To Valley Farm & Enterprises Limited?
23		A.	I was under the impression Valley
24		Farm had	borrowed the money from Aurora and
25		Valley F	erm had sold the shares and made a profit
26		on them.	
27	194	a .	To whom did they sell the shares?
28		A.	It was quite obvious it was seld to
29		_	s. This transaction should be followed
30	Thi		erously brought to you by Sandy Noble

	1	through in Aurors and the shares	jon't forget
;	2	they were secrowed shares and should	have been
;	3	sold when they came out of escrow an	this loan
Ą	Ł	paid off. It is at 10% per annum.	
ţ	195	q. There is no record of the 1	Den ever
(5	having been repaid?	
ì	7	A. I am told this is true.	
\$	196	Q. You personally received some	of those
9		shares or credit for some of those si	ares when
10	o	they were transferred to Associated (lanadian
1:	1	Holdings Limited. Did you ever pay?	
12	2	A. This was my proportion for !	wing
13	3	negotiated the transaction with Mr. S	itreit.
14	197	Q. Who was to pay Valley Farm 8	: Enterprise
15	5	Limited for those shares?	
16		A. Valley Farm & Enterprises so	old them
17		back apparently to Don Mills. In get	ting this
18		thing together, I am Only trying tofu	elp out on
19		the situation and according to Val	Lley Farm's
20		records, I believe they made a profit	on the
21		transaction.	
22	198	Q. Did Don Mills ever pay Vall	ny Farm &
23		Enterprises Limited for the sharest	
24		A. I don't follow you, Mr. Bel	rė.
25	199	Q. Valley Farm sold the shares	. They
20		should have been paid for thous	
27		A. Yes.	
28	#00	q. I am solting you were that	y paid?
20		the paid then? In they said for 194	,500,00,
1		and the state of t	

1	A. The money, according to my understanding,
2	came from Aurora then in the Valley Farm records
3	would show a profit of \$24,500.00 on the
4	transaction If Don Mills I thought this
5	was supposed to be off the record.
6	MR. BAIRD: If we piece it together,
7	I want to go back on the record.
8	
9	- Off the record discussion.
10	
11	MY MR. BAIRD:
12	201 Q. Mr. Morgan, I understand you originally
13	had an option agreement with Mr. Street whereby
14	you were to purchase 70,000 shares of Commodore
15	Business Machines at \$1.00 a share. How were
16	those shares paid for?
17	A. I turned over the option on 63,000
18	shares to Valley Farm for \$70,000.00 retaining
19	7,000 shares, free shares, myself.
20	
21	Off the record discussion.
22	·
23	of Mr. Bair D:
24	202 Q. I would like to first deal with what
25	happened to the 70,000 shares, Mr. Morgan.
26	When the Option with Mr. W. Strait was exercised,
27	Iunderstand 7,000 shares were released, and
28	63.000 shares remained in escrey. Is that

correct?

1		A. That's correct.
2	203	Q. I understand subsequently the 7,000
3		shares were sold. Did you receive a profit on
4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	the sale of those 7,000 shares?
5		A. Yes, because those shares were turned
6		into Associated Canadian Holdings for their stock.
7	204	G. That is not correct. I understand,
8		Mr. Morgan, Mr. Carl Solomon arranged for the
9		transfer of 7,000 shares which had Originally
10		been registered in name of William C. Stmit, and
1,1		a series of people. I would like to show you
12		a letter of July 13th, 1962 which will be Exhibit
13		10 to this examination.
14		A. They were employees of the factory, were
15		they not?
16	205	Q. I have no idea who the persons are
17		but it appears that 7,000 free shares from the
18		option were transferred into the names of the
19		persons shown on Exhibit 10. Is that trust
20		A. No, they weren't. The Streit option
21		of 7,000 shares was in my possession endorsed
22		but it was in Solomon's office. As I told you
23		all of the shares were kept in Solomon's office.
24		Those 7,000 shares endorsed over by Streit were
25		my property. The fact they were later transfered
26	206	Q. What happened to those share?
27		A. Those shares were eventually transferred
28		into other people's names for listing purposes.
29	207	Q. What do you mean by that, Mr. Hargan?
30	-	

1	The 7,000 shares were they actually transferred
2	into the names of the persons shown on Exhibit 107
3	A. I think they were transferred into their
4	names and enforced back to Solomon.
5	208 Q. So they remained in your possession?
6	A. /ctually, they were shares belonging to
7	Associated. I think they belonged to Associated
8	Canadian Holdings Limited.
9	209 Q. Were you eventually given credit or
10	7,000 shares in the apportionment of the shares
11	of Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?
12	A. I was. I know I got I never received
13	Mr. Streit's certificate but I received other
14	certificates equalling 7,000 shares.
15	
16	EXHIBIT NO. 10: Letter of July 13th 1962.
17	
18	BY MR. BAIRD:
19	210 Q. I am looking at Exhibit 2. I can't
20	see how you received credit for the 7,000 shares.
21	Mrs. M. Morgan received eredit for 5,000 shares
22	but I see no record of you receiving credit for
23	7,000 or 2,000 shares.
24	
25	Off the record discussion.
26	
27	BY MR. BAIRD:
28	211 Q. There is no record of you receiving
29	credit for 7,000. You have received execut for
30	

1		Other amounts?
2		A. I received credit for the 6,334 and the
3		other 7,000 was given to me separately but I
4		have turned them into Associated for their stock.
5	515	Q. The 7,000 was never turned into
6		Associated Canadian Holdings Limited It was
7		retained by you?
8		A. That's right.
9	213	Q What happened to the 63,000 shares which
10		were part of the option and which remained in
11		escrow?
12		A. They were divided between Mesars.
13		Tramiel, Kapp, Walton, Wagman and the balance
14		Owing to me in the transaction of 6,334 shares
15		came to me.
16	214	Q. In what proportion were they divided?
17		A. Mr. Tramiel got 13,333, Mr. Kapp 13,333,
18		Mr Walton 15,000, Mr. Wagman, 15,000. The
19		reason for the difference between 13,333 and the
20		15,000 to Mesers. Walton & Wagman those shares
21		ware to cover services rendered.
22	21 5	Q. Was it the original intention that
23		the 70,000 shares would be divided equally among
24		you, Mr. Walton, Mr. Wagman, Mr. Tramiel, and
25		Mr. Kapp?
26		A. That is correct.
27	216	Q. Why would the five persons I have just
28		mentioned, ircluding yourself, agree the shares
29		would be divided in this manner. What was the
30	Th	is page generously brought to you by Sandy Noble

1		purpose of this?
2		A. I agreed with Mr. Tramiel to make
3		this division as set out. It represented a
4		position because I felt I was entitled to a
5		little bit more than the rest because I had
6		the option and for this reason, he agreed the
7		price the group would pay for it would be
8		\$94,500.00.
9	217	Q. Was the \$94,500.00 to be paid by all
10		five persons that we have just mentioned?
11		A. It was, yes, in the ratio of their
12		holdings.
13	51 3	Q. It appears that Valley Farm & Enterprises
14		Limited paid \$70,000.00 to Mr. Solomon. In turn,
1.5		Mr. Solomon paid \$70,000.00 to Mr Streit.
16		Did you transfer your Option to Valley Farm &
17		Enterprises Limited?
18		A. Yes, on the shares that were still in
19		escrow.
20	219	Q. I understand then Valley Farm &
21		Enterprises paid \$70,000.00 for the 63,000 shares
22		which were still in escrow and you retained 7,000
23		slures yourself. Is that correct?
24		A. Right.
25	220	Q. Subsequently, it appears that Valley
26		Farm & Enterprises Limited received \$94,500.00
27		from Aurora Leasing Corporation Limited?
28		A. This was correct and it was supposed
29		to be the 63,000 shares at a dollar and a half

1		which wa	s to be billed in the ratio mentioned
2		al Ove.	
3	221	Q.	So the payment by Aurora Leasing
4		Corporat	ion Limited to Valley Farm & Enterprises
5	•	Limited,	the means whereby the five persons,;
6		Messrs.	Walton, Wagman, Tramiel, Kapp, and
7	A Company of the Comp	yourself	paid for the 63,000 shares?
8		Α.	Yes
9	222	Q.	Was the note executed by Messrs.
10		Tramiel,	and Kapp on behalf of Don Mills given
11		as evide	nce of the indebtedness to Aurora
12		Leasing	Corporation Limited for the 63,000
13		shares?	
14		Α.	For the loan of the \$94,500.00.
1.5	223	Q.	It was used to pay Valley Farm &
16		Enterpri	ses Limited for the 63,000 shares?
17		A.	This is my understanding.
18	22 ^{i;}	Q.	It appears, although the loan set up
19		in the b	ooks of Aurora in the name of Don Mills,
20		the loan	was actually made to the five persons.
21		Would the	at be correct?
22		Α.	I would have to agree it is so.
23	225	Q.	Did you ever pay Aurora Leasing
24		Corporat	lon Limited or Don Mills any portion
25		of the	94,500.00 ?
26		A.	I will have to look through my cheques.
27		I can't	give you an answer at this moment. As
28			of fact, I thought this loan was paid.
29	226	۵.	There is a payment on the books of

2

3

	_
	4
	5
	6
	4 5 6 7 8
5	8
3 -	
	10
5	11
2	12
	13
)) -	14
<u> </u>	15
<u>.</u>	16
5	17 18
))	18
5	19
÷ + D	20
2.	21
<u>.</u>	22
	21222324
3	24
<u> </u>	25
	26
	27
	28

29

30

account of Aurora Leasing Corporation indicating they had received approximately \$29,000.00 from Associated Canadian Holdings Limited as part payment of this loan. Did you arrange this, or are you familiar with that?

- Well, this again I would have to look through my records. I was under the impression this was supposed to have been paid because I don't recall Aurora keeping stock.
- 227 Q. Mr. Morgan, I would like to show you as Exhibit 11, a photostatic copy of statement which reads C.P. Morgan, William L. Walton, H. Wagman, statement of investments as at August 31st, 1962. Included in the assets in this statement are 63,000 shares of Commodore Business Machines Inc. Would you examine this statement and tell me whether the 63,000 shares which we have been referring to are the same as the 63,000 shares we have shown in the statement?
 - I have never seen this thing at all. Where did this thing come from?
- We obtained it from the Royal 228 Q. Commission.
 - I wonder where they got it from?
- 229 I don't know who prepared it. 0.
 - August 31st , 1962? Α.

-EXHIBIT NO. 11: Photostatic copy statement as above described.

-Off the record discussion.

1	BY MR. BAIRD:
2	230 C. I would also like to show you as Exhibit
3	12 a handwritten statement headed Work Sheet re
4	Trio account, holdings October 16th 1962 Do
5	you recognize the handwriting?
6	
7	Welton.
8	
9	EXHIBIT NO. 12: Handwritten work sheet re Trio account.
10	
11	BY MR. BAIRD:
12	231. C. Do you know what the heading represents
13	"Work Sheet Trio Account Holdings"?
14	A. Yesterday we were talking about Valley
15	Farm. Is that \$120,000.00? I couldn't recall.
16	Yes, that is the transaction we were talking
17	about. This work sheet represents, to the best
18	of my knowledge, some scribblings of Mr. Walton
19	that I think a great deal of them are not right.
20	Some of them are correct. Item here in
21	connection with Commodors Business Machines
22	63,000 escrowed shares was later sold, or was
23	earlier sold before the date of that datement,
24	sold to Bon Mills.
25	232 Q. Doss this Tric referred to in the
26	statement refer to Mr. Walton, and Mr. Wagman?
27	A. This apparently is Mr. Walton's way
28	of referring to it. I was asked whether or not

-- yesterday, whether or not I had heard of the

29

1		Trio so this is the first time I have come on
2		any evidence that would indicate to me that
3		there was such a name in existence. It is
4	,	apparently coined by Mr. Walton
5	233	Q. Had this covered some joint transactions
6		which you entered into with Mr. Walton and Mr.
7		Wagman?
8		A. Yesterday, on the Valley Farm Statement,
9		I was asked whether I knew anything about a
10		Trio and I did not have any knowledge of it
11		but in view of this evidence today, it is
12		quite evident that Mr. Walton put that nomenclatur
13		on himself, Mr. Wagman, and myself.
14	234	Q. Do you know whether the three of you
15		had 63,000 shares of Commodors Business Machines
16		Inc. over and above the 63,000 shares which we
17		have previously discussed?
18		A. No. That is why I say that statement
19		the previous Exhibit and this Exhibit are not
20		right.
21		
22	Off	the record discussion.
23		
24	by Mr.	BAIRD:
25	235	Q. Mr. Morgan, I would like to show you
26		as Exhibit 13 copy of latter which appears to
27		have been written by Manfred Kapp dated July
28		16th 1962 to Harry Wagman, Aurora Leasing
29		Corporation Limited. It refers to enclosing

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7 8 9	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
41	١

29

236

237

in the letter the following listed share
certificates of Commodore Business Machines
(Canada) Limited being secured in loan made by
you to Don Mills and it appears there were
23,260 shares listed. Can you tell se for what
purpose those shares were lodged with Aurora
Leasing Corporation and particularly, I am
concerned whether they were lodged as security
for the \$94,500.00 loan or the \$100,000.00 loan
made to Don Mills?
A. I can't answer that question. All I
can say is that those figures on here are of

can say is that those figures on here are of

Mr. Wagman, and he should be able to answer them.

Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr.

Kapp concerning the lodging of those shares

with Aurora Leasing Corporation Limited?

A. Ho.

Q. On June 22nd 1965, Associated Canadian
Holdings Limited undertook to pay, from their
account, on behalf of Barrett, Goodfallow &
Company Limited, the balance owing in the account
of Jack Tramiel and received from that account
securities held there. Were you aware that
Associated Canadian Holdings Limited entered
into this arrangement with Barrett, Goodfallow?
A. No, I was not.

EXHIBIT NO. 13: Copy letter written by Manfred Eapp to Harry Wagman dated July 16th 1962.

1 M M. BAIRD:

2 233

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Holdings Limited borrowed \$750,000.00 from Aurora Leasing Corporation Limited, who, in turn, loaned \$750,000.00 to Commodore Business Machines (Canada) Limited. This loan appears to have been paid on Hovember 3rd 1963 but Associated Canadian Holdings Limited bought 100,000. shares of Pive Wheels Limited from Commodore Business Machines for \$750,000.00. Are you familiar with this transaction?

Yes. The original deal fell through. In other words, the original ourchase of the 100,000 shares of Fire Wheels were supposed to be done by Cosmodore Business Machines (Canada) Limited and the directors of Commodore Business Machines, particularly Mr. Annett and Mr. King -- I don't know whether it was Annett & Company whoever was on the Board at that time. either - I will put it this way. Annett & Company, directors of Commoders, objected to the purchase of this amount of stock, treasury stock. of Five Wheels and for this reason, it was -the deal was changed so that Associated Canadian Holdings Limited acquired the treasury stock of Five Wheels rather than Commodere Business Machines. The reason that Commodore was to acquire Five Wheels stock, was at that time they were thinking of going seriously into the lessing

1		business.
2	239	Q. Did you arrange and negotiate this
3		transaction?
4		A. Mr. Tramial and I did, yes.
5	240	Q. Do you know the reason for the delay
6		between July 1963 and Movember 1963?
7		A. As previously stated, the reason for
8		it was because of the calling of directors!
9		meetings, to try to get this thing ratified.
10	241	Q. There is an account receivable shown
11	de d	on the books of Associated Canadian Holdings
12	1	Limited of \$112,070.00 owing by Standard
13	The state of the s	Identational Corporation. The records of the
14		company indicate on May 18th 1965, the sum of
15		\$112,070.93 was paid by Associated Canadian
16	A designation of the second	Holdings Limited to Standard International
17		Corporation Limited of Andover, Messachusetts.
18		A. That was to acquire the Arcan debenture
19		which Standard International held and the
20		necessity for acquiring it was to protect
21		Associate's debentures on Arcan of \$350,000.00.
22	242	Q. Hould you please outline the transaction
23		involving Arcan? The books of the company
24		indicate on July 16th 1963, Associated Canadian
25		Holdings Limited loaned \$350,000.00 to the Areas
26		Corporation and received a debenture for
27		\$350,000.00 for this loan. Was that correct?
28		A. Yes.
29	243	Q. Yes the money said directly to Arcan
30		

1		Corporati	lon Limited?
2		A.	I think it was either paid directly
3		to Arcan	or their solicitors.
4	544	Q.	Who negotiated this transaction?
5		A.	I was a principal with Mr. Tramiel
6		having fo	all knowledge of it.
7	245	ę.	What was the purpose of the transaction?
8		A.	This was to acquire what was considered
9		to be a	diversified investment.
. 10	246	6 *	This was a loan by Associated Canadian
11		Holdings	Limited to Arean. Is that correct?
12		Λ.	Yes, but it was actually acquisition of
13	The state of the s	a debenti	are. In other words, the purchase of
14	The state of the s	a debentu	ire.
15	247	Q.	Tho held the debenture?
16		4.	This was the first issue.
17	248	Q.	It was a payment to the treasury of
18		Arcan Con	poration Limited?
19		A.	Yes.
20	249	Q.	Were there any other transactions at
21		the same	time involving them?
22		Α,	I can't recall. I don't understand your
23		question.	
24	250	Q.	Were there any side transactions at
25		the same	time with Arcan? Did Associated
26		Canadian	Holdings Limited make any arrangements
27		with Area	n at the time, in particular concerning
28		purchase	of shares, or any Other dealing?
29		A.	I know that Associated had interests
30			

1	
	- 1

3

4

5

7

8

9

6

251

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

in Arcan. Whether or not they had any share imprests, I can't say. Perhaps if you could be more specific, I could give you an answer.

A. I can't be more specific because I don't know of any other transactions. But I would like to carry on and emplify this payment in May.

Q. I want to move into the history of it.
Would you please explain what subsequent dealings
you had with respect to the Arcan Corporation
Limited?

A. The debenture of Arcan held by Associated was a first charge on the assets of that company except for a previous charge, of a specific charge on the 60% interest in Bon Ami (Canada) Limited. The amount of this previous charge was \$100,000.00 American. The collateral security were the shares of Bon Ami (Canada) Limited. Standard International Corporation Limited which is the successor company to Bon Ami Inc. in the States, called this debenture and in order to protect Associated's interest in the shares of Bon Ami, which are the principal assets of Arcan, an agreement, Or an arrangement rather, was entered into with Mr. Owen of Mila Investments Limited who was the former President of Arcan and also the distributor of Bon Asi products in Canada, whereby he would put up \$75,000.00 to protect Arcan's position and the

1	balance was put up by Associated Canadian
2	Billings. Associated, at that particular time
3	did not have enough money in their treasury, and
4	I ersonally adamsed \$24,000.00 to pay this lien
5	on Bon Ami shares off for the company.
6	252 Q. You are producing to me, Mr. Morgan,
7	copy of a chaque dated May 18th 1965 pay to
8	the Order of Associated Canadian Holdings
9	Limited the amount of \$24,000.00, signed by
10	you and deposited to the credit of Associated
11	Canadian Holdings Limited.
12	A. Do you want to make an Exhibit of that
13	and give it back to me next time I see you?
14	
15	EXHIBIT NO. 14: Chaque dated May 13th 1965 in the amount of \$24,000.00
16	
17	Off the record discussion.
18	
19	BY MR. BAIRD:
20	253 Q. I would like to show you as Enhibit
21	15, what appears to be a letter written on May
22	21st 1965 by Associated Canadian Maldings Limited
23	under the hand of Mr. Transal to Mr. B.P. Quen.
24	Does this letter outline the agreement with Mr.
25	Owen as you understood it?
26	A. It does. The terms of this agreement
27	were distant by Mr. Oven.

This page generously brought to you by Rowan Stewart

1 2	EX1	IBIT WO. 15: Letter written by Associated Canadian Mulsings Limited to Mr. Owen dated May 21st 1965.
3		
	Y MR.	BAIRD:
5	54	Q. Did Standard International Corporation
6		transfer to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
7		this debenture on the assets of Bon Ami?
8		A. I am not certain whether it did because
9		I did not have control of the Associated books.
10	55	Q. Did you understand it should have?
11		A. Yes, I understand it should have.
12	56	Q. What about the shares of Bon Ami?
13		Were they also to be transferred to Associated
14		Canadian Holdings Limited by Standard International
15		A. When the \$112,000.00 was paid on whatever
16		this date is in May, at that time, what security
17		the Standard International Corporation held in
18		Boston was to be turned over to Associated's
19		representative for their account.
202	57	Q. Who was Associated's representative?
21		A. I can't tell you the man actually but
22		I do know that he was called a director by Mr.
23		Owen. You see, technically, I believe Mr. Owen
24		advanced the money to Associated, the \$75,000.00,
25		and Associated's cheque was certified and went
26		downto Boston. Techanically, Associated has,
27		or should own all of the security that came with
28		the \$100,000.00 which westalks the Arcan debenture
29		for \$100,000.00 U.S. and the security attached

30

1		which would be the 60% of the Bon Ami Corporation.
2		
3	Off	the record discussion.
4		
5		BAIRD:
6	253	Q. Mr. Morgan, on August 17th, 1964,
7		Shiral Investments, or Albert Shulman paid
8		Associated Canadian Holdings Limited \$80,000.00.
9		The books indicate on December 1st 1964 Shirel
10		Investments purchased 160,000 shares of Five
11		Wheels Limited from Associated Canadian Holdings
12		Limited for \$160,000.00. The cost payment of
13		\$30,000.00 was applied to the shares purchased,
14		leaving a balance Owing by Mr. Albert Shulman of
15		\$30,000.00. Where did Associated Canadian Holding
16		Limited Obtain to 160,000 shares of Five Wheels
17		Lilited?
18		A. They got them from Alan Mannes, or one of
19	The state of the s	his Associated companies.
20	259	Q. The books of Associated Canadian Holdings
21		Limited indicate that they were purchased from
22		Daylight, D-a-y-l-i-g-h-t, of Grand Bahamas.
23		Do you know why?
24		A. Actually, the proper mase is Delite.
25		There is no "y".
26	260	Q. I believe the Bahama one is spelled
27		with a "y". Do the books of account show that
28		the shares were purchased from Daylite of Grand
29		Bahames

This page generously brought to you by Rowan Stewart

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

A. Daylite of Grand Bahamas, having done
some work for Mannes' companies and it was an
Outside transaction, out of the country, it was
probably on the basis of the \$100,000.00. Let's
sen this was a complicated transaction because
of all it involved. It involved the Molly
Corporation and the Toronto Dominion Bank.
There was something there they sent up.

---Off the record discussion.

BY MR. BAIRD:

261 Q. Who originally owned 200,000 shares of Five Wheels Limited?

A. Well, this is the 200,000 shares you are talking about?

Q. Yes, the shares 160,000 of which were eventually sold to Albert Shalman.

A. Alan Mannes, or his holding company.

263 Q. What was the name of his holding company?

A. Nolly Corporation, or Pannsylvanian
Corporation which worked out of Screaton. These
shares, those 200,000 shares were pooled shares
along with equal amounts held by Shalman's halding
company. According to the billing arrangement,
they couldn't be sold without Shalman's company
they couldn't be sold without Shalman's company
they couldn't be sold without Shalman's company
company, he wouldn't give it.

This page generously brought to you by Gary Lake

		TORONTO	
1		A. Daylite of Grand Bahamas, having done	
2		some work for Mannes' companies and it was an	
3	outside transaction, out of the country, it was		
4		probably on the hasts of the \$100,000.00. Let's	
5		sec this was a complicated transaction because	
6		of all it involved. It involved the Molly	
7		Corporation and the Toronto Dominion Bank.	
8		There was something there they sent up.	
9			
10	OFF	the record discussion.	
11			
12	BY MR.	BAIRD:	
13	261	Q. Who originally owned 200,000 shares of	
14		Five Wheels Limited?	
15		A. Well, this is the 200,000 shares you	
16		are talking about?	
17	262	Q. Yes, the shares 160,000 of which	
18		were eventually sold to Arbert Shulman.	
19		A. Alan Mannes, or his holding company.	
20	263	Q. What was the name of his holding	
21		company?	
22		A. Molly Corporation, or Pennsylvanian	
23		Corporation which worked out of Scranton. Those	
24		shares, those 200,000 shares were pooled shares	
25		along with equal amounts held by Shulman's helding	
26		company. According to the billing arrangement,	
27		they couldn't be sold without Shulman's consent	
28		go when Associated went to Shulman to get his	
29		consent, he wouldn't give it.	
30			
11	I		

1	8611	Q. Why would Associated to go Shulman
2		to obtain his consent? Did it not purchase
3		shawon at this stage of the explanation?
4		A. It was done almost concurrently.
5	26 5	Q. Was it proposed that Associated Canadian
6		Holdings Limited would purchase those shares from
7		Mr. Mannes?
8		A. Yes, it was proposed that those shares
9		be sold by Molly Corporation to Associated by
10		Mr. Mannes for \$200,000.00 purchase price.
11	2 66	Q. How did Daylite of Grand Bahamas come
12		into the picture?
13		A. Daylite of Grand Bahamas, at the same
14	_	time, had made an agreement with Mr. Mannes
15		that those shares representing \$200,000.00,
16		would be given to them in part payment of
17		the advances that were made by Daylite to
18		Mr. Mannes.
19	267	Q. Did Daylita of Grand Bahama receive
20		anything else besides the 200,000 shares of
21		Five Wheels Limited?
22		A. In settling the deal with Mr. Mannes,
23		a package of some Alaska telephone stock, and
24		American Bowling Corporation bonds, were
25		included in the transaction along with 200,000
26		escrowed shares of Five Wheels. Those assets
27		and this stock, were of course worth zero and
28		all of the value was attributed to the 200,000
29		shares of escroved stock of Five Wheels.

1	263	Q.	Did Daylite pay any cash for those shares
2		Α,	Daylite paid \$200,00 .00 cash for them.
3	269	Ą.	And then Associated Canadian Holdings
4		paid Day	lite for the shares? Is that correct?
5		ń	That's correct.
6	270	Q.	Did you ever tell Mr. Shulman that the
7		shares w	ere purchased for less than a dollar a
8	·	share?	
9		Λ.	No.
10	271	Q.	Did Mr. Shulman ask you when he agreed
11		to buy th	ne shares from Associated Canadian Holding
12		Limited t	the price at which Associated Canadian
13		Holdings	Limited purchased the shares?
14	,	£.	The agreed on price to Mr. Shulman
1.5		was \$160	,000 00 for 160,000 shares. There was
16		never an	y discussion for any less price than this.
17	272	Q	Was there any discussion as to the
18		price at	which Associated Canadian Holdings
19		Limited :	acquired the shares?
20		A.	No.
21	273	Q.	Mr. Shulman has told me he authorized
22			agotiate with Mr. Mannes for the purchase
23		of the s!	nares at 50 cents a share. Is that the?
24		A .	He asked me to try and negotiate at
25		the best	price possible exactly, but as far
26		as the 5) cents a share was concerned, it was
27		not agree	ed upon and in any event, Associated
28		و ملاء هدار	elling to retain the shares at the
29		price we	had given to Mr. Mannes but this was
20	Thie	page gene	rously brought to you by Gary Lake

not satisfactory to Mr. Shulman.

2

3

1

-Exemination adjourned at 1:00 p.m.

4

-- On resuming at 2:15 p.m.

6

7 BY MR. BAIRD:

8 274 9

10

11

12

13

Mr. Morgan, I would like to deal now with the question of the re-purchase of the shares of Commodore Business Machines which Were Originally sold to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited by the shareholders. there an agreement giving the original shareholders the right to re-purchase the shares of Commodore Business Machines which they had sold to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?

I believe there was an agreement somewhere

15

14

16

A.

17

18

19

20

21

22

275

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

agreement in the same for ever signed by the This page generously brought to you by Gary Lake

where the shareholders were given an option to

in, or approximately \$3.55 a share.

buy them back at the same price they turned them

1		partim men Londy
2		A. I was under the impression it was
3		signed and I believe I am not 100% certain.
4		I think I exercised the option and paid the
5		company for i does this bear out your record?
6	276	The records do indicate that you marcised
7		your option and paid certain moneys to the
8		company. Mr. Tramiel and Mr. Kapp, however,
9		stated they did no know of any such agreement
10		to re-purchase the shares and were not parties
11		EO any agreement?
12		A. You mean that they didn't sign this?
	277	Q. That there was no agreement, whether
13 14	 1 	signed or unsigned to allow the shareholders to
15	*) 	re-purchase the shares?
		A. This does not agree with my understanding
16		of the transaction.
17	078	Q. With whom did you have the agreement?
	278	with the nerites listed in the dwarf
19		A. With the parties aloud in the dialt.
20		IT NO. 16: Photostatic copy of agreement between
21	EXHIB	Associated Canadian Moldings and Mr. Kapp and others dated July 1st 1963.
22		MI. makk
23		
24]	Y MR. BA	IRD: De you have a signed copy of the
25	279	4.
26		agreement? I don't know whether I do or not, but
27		A. I don't know the to you.
58		if I do I will forward it to you. Did you have any discussions with the
29	90	DIQ AON USA. WA

This page generously brought to you by Gary Lake

29

30

293

persons referred to in this agreement? 1 **5.** The discussions were with Mr. Tramiel 2 and Mr. Kanp very definitely. 3 4 281 Q. There is a memorandum attached to the financial statement prepared by Wagman, Fruitman 5 & Lando which reads as follows -- these are 6 notes to the financial st tement of Associated 7 Canadian Holdings Limited which I will exter as 8 Exhibit 17. It reads: 9 10 "The company has entered into an agree" 11 ment dated July 1st 1963 whereby an 12 option was given to re purchase 215,000 13 shares Commodore Business Machines at 14 \$3.50 a share the said option to expire June 30th, 1965. The company's portfolio 15 includes those shares valued at \$3.50." 16 Is that your understanding of the fact. 17 Yes, that is my understanding. 18 A. Mr. Morgan, you have stated that you 19 282 Q. exercised your right to redeem the shares. 20 did you do this? 21 By paying cash for the shares. 22 Α. 23 Financial statement of Associated 24 -EXHIBIT NO. 171 Canadian Holdings Limited prepared by Wagman, Fruitman & Lando. 25 26 27 BY MR. BRIRD:

How much money did you pay Associated

prought to you by Gary Lake

Canadiang Holdings Limited?

ı	ı 3.	0
1		On the last cheque I have in my
2	Page 48810	n here, which is December 29th, 1964
3	70% \$T59*	019.50 - that was, in my opinion, I
4	perreve,	the balance owing to the company.
5		You are producing to me, an original
6	18	chaque in the amount of \$123,019.50
7		C.P. Morgan in favour of Associated
8		Holdings Limited and marked For deposit
9	only to t	he credit of Associated Canadian Holdings
10	Limited."	I would enter that as Exhibit 13.
11	I would 1	ike a photostat copy of that.
12	A .	You can do the same with that.
13	•	
14	EXHIBIT NO. 13:	Original certified cheque in the amount of \$128,019.50 dated December
15		29th, 1964.
16		
17		THE DEPONENT: I believe there was a
18	transfer	from my account at Barrett, Goodfellow
19	to Associ	ated Canadian Holdings Limited for
20	whatever	the difference was when the shares
21	were deli	vered from their account to my account
22	at Barret	t, Goodfellow.
23		
24	BY NR. BAIRDI	
25		What do you mean by that?
26	n •	In other words, I would give Barrett
27	a directi	on to pay Associated Canadian Holdings
28		flat amount of money based I thought
29		so but it could be \$3.50 a share at

30

my account re Barrett ian Holdings ! -- I thought This wage generously brought to you by Gary Lake

the time of transfer. 1 In other words, transfer Out of Associated Canadian Holdings Limited X 2 number of shares to my account at \$3.50 a share. 3 Are there any credits ---4 5 --Off the record discussion. 6 7 8 BY MR. BAIRD: 9 236 Q. The books of account show a receipt on December 30th 1965 re H. Wagman \$99,750.00. 10 This, according to my calculations, means he 11 12 would have redeemed 23,500 at \$3.50? 13 A. That's right. 14 287 Was that your understanding of the transaction? 15 16 Yes. A. The books indicate there was a receipt 17 288 Q. of \$128,019.50 which would indicate youhad 18 redeemed 36,577 shares at \$3.50. Is that correct? 19 That's correct. 20 And then the books indicate a receipt 21 239 Q. from M. Morgan of \$52,500.00. This would 22 indicate your wife had redeemed 15,000 shares, 23 Is that correct? 24 25 Yes. Α. What was the method by which you, 26 530 Q. your wife, and Mr. Wagman exercised your option 27 to purchase shares at \$3.50 a share? 28 This page generously brought to you by Gary Labo 29

_30

1		o Associated Canadian Holdings Limited.
- 1		Did you pay cash before the shares were
3		delivered to Barrett, Goodfellow & Company and
4		ransferred to your account, or afterwards?
5		I thought it was concurrently.
1	292	d. Mr. Morgan, I would like to show you
7		copy of letter dated November 20th 1964 which
8		appears to have been witten by Associated Canadian
9		Holdings Limited to Barrett, Goodfellow & Company.
10		Do you know whether such a letter was written?
11		A. Yes, this letter was written and was
12		answered by Mr. Tramiel.
13		
14	EXHIB	T NO. 19: Copy letter dated November 20th 1964,
15		from Associated to Barrett, Goodfellow.
16		
17	BY MR. B	IRD:
18	293	Q. Mr. Morgan, this letter is dated November
19		20th 1964 and your claus to Associated Canadian
20		Holdings Limited does not appear to have been
21		paid until November 29th 1964. Can you explain
22		the reason or difference in time?
23		A. This was this credit for the amount
24		of time that was allowed on the thing was in
25		order to obtain the necessary clearance on the
56		shares.
27	294	Q. What do you meen by that?
58		A. In other words, this was an account

This page generoughe 30 day pariedly or whatever

50

Section & Inches

	1	pariod of lime is was.
;	2 29 5	Q. So the shares were transferred into
;	3	your name before you paid Associated Canadian
4	1	Holdings Limited for the shares?
4	5	A. I would say there was a lapse of time.
(296	Q. Did the same apply in respect to Mr.
:	7	Wagman? Was 40,000 shares transferred into the
8	3	name of Mr. Wagman?
9		A. No, there was a lapse of time there too.
10	297	Q. What is meant by the notation to H.
11	L	Wagman, "This is your authority to write same
12	2	letter as above to Barrett, Goodfellow
13	3	for another 40,000 shares."
14	L	Did Mr. Wagman write a second letter to Barrett,
15		Goodfellow, and to whom were the additional
16		40,000 shares transferred?
17		A. This letter purports to be an authoriza-
18		tion from Mr. Tramiel and I think those initials
19		are Kapp's. I am not certain but I think they
20		are. I know that initial is Jack Tramiel to
21		cover the total of 80,000 shares, 40,000 at
22		November 20th and 40,000 as at December 17th.
23	298	Q. To whom was the 30,000 shares transferred
24		A. The 80,000 shares were delivered to my
25		account.
26	299	Q. They were put in your account?
27		A. Yes, that's right, for the handling
28		of the transaction.
29		Q. For the transfer of 80,000 shares the
30	Jac	

1		company, according to the option agreement, should
2		have received \$230,000.00.
3		A. How much did thay receive?
4	301	Q. On December 30th 1965, it shows a
5		receipt of \$280,269.50. Can you explain the
6		difference?
7		A. Has there an odd number of shares
8		transferred?
9		
10	0fi	the record discussion.
11		
12	BY MR.	BAIPD:
13	302	9 The receipt would indicate to me that
14		30,077 shares were transferred into your name
15		at that time. Would this be correct?
16		A. It is quite possible that this added
17		number of shares were transferred. That letter
18		might have called for an added lot, or even amount
19		of 40,000. It is possible they might have
20		transferred another 40,077.
21	303	Q. Did you exercise your right to purchase
22		any further shares?
23		A. No.
24	304	Q. Has this the only transaction which
25		involves
26		A. Which involved Mrs. Morgan, and me, and
27		Harry Hagnan.
28	305	Q. It appears from this you did not
29		exercise your right to purchase all the shares
30		

	. 1	you could have purchased. Is that correct?
	1	
	2	A I would have to check the records but
	5	from looking at those figures, it would seem so.
•	1300	C. It appears originally you would have
,	5	teen entitled to buy 52,237 and in fact, you
	6	only purchased 36,577. Can you explain the reaso
	7	why you did not exercise your right to purchase
•	8	the remaining shares?
•	9	A I would have to check my records to
1	0	say they weren't purchased but I believe the
1	1	Ontion did not expire until some time in late
1	2	June of 1965.
1.	3 207	Q Mr. Morgan, the books of account
14	1	indicate there was \$182,000.00 received free
13	5	Barrett, Goodfellow on Pebraary 19th 1965. Dec
16		you arrange for this money to be paid to
17	and the second s	Associated Canadian Holdings Limited by Berrett,
18		Goodfallow & Company?
19		A. Is that in return for the same shares?
20	30 3	C. There is no record of why it was paid
21		to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited. If you
22		divide this amount by \$3.50, you arrive at the
23		answer of \$52,000.007
24		A. Well, I can't recall this transaction
2 5		but I am certain the records of Scotfellow woold
26		show it whether or not it was salivered against
27		perment.
28	909	Q. But you understand the fact to be
29		Mr. Tranial and Mr. Rapp were uncreining that r

1		rights to	re-purchase the shares of Commodore
2		Business	Machines?
3		Α.	I was under the impression they were,
4		yes.	
5	310	વ .	Why were 80,000 share referred to in
6		Exhibit 1	19 all transferred into your name?
7		Α.	Because those were handled for Mrs.
8		Morgan a	nd Mr. Wagman by myself.
9	311	Q.	Why did you handle Mr. Wagman's trans-
10		action?	
11		A.	Lith his permission.
12	315	Q.	What arrangements were made to handle
13		Mr. Tram	iel and Mr. Kapp and their wives' shares?
14		Α.	I did not have control of the Associated
15		books, s	o I can't tell you.
16	313	Q.	You don't know what the arrangements
17		were?	
18		Α.	No.
19	314	Q.	On July 10th 1963, Associated Canadian
20		Holdings	Limited paid Aurora Leasing Corporation
21			\$29,200.00. This was applied against
22		the inde	btedness of Don Mills to Aurora Leasing
23		Corporat	ion. Were you aware that this transaction
24		took pla	ce?
25		A.	No, I wasn't.
26	31 5	Q.	Did you authorize it?
27		A.	No, I didn't.
28	316	Q.	In January 1965, 15,000 shares of the
29		Dale Est	ate were sold to Mr. Calvert at \$1.50 a
30	Thi	s page gei	nerously brought to you by Gary Lake

1		share. Were you aware of this transaction?
2		A. Yes. It was in connection with the
3		acquisition of the debenture of Associated, or
4		at least, of the Dale Estate, and it was mutually
5		agreed that Annett & Company, and the holders of
6		the Dale debenture, that certain division of the
7		debenture was to be made and Mr. Calvert who
8		then became the President of Calvert-Dale
9		Limited, which was an amalgemetion of the Dale
10		Estate and Calvert Florists in Brampton and this
11		was arranged by Annett & Company and each holder
12	·	gave up a proportionate number of shares and/or
13	·	debentures of the company to Mr. Calvert.
14	317	Q. In July and August 1964, Associated
15		Canadian Holdings Limited paid \$115,000.00 to
16		Trans Commercial Acceptance. This money seems
17		to have been applied to the share capital of
18		Trans Commercial Acceptance Corporation.
19		Subsequently, the shares of Trans Commercial
20		Acceptance were sold to Hugo Oppenheimbank
21		(Canada) Limited. Were you aware of this
22		transaction?
23		A. No, I was not.
24	318	Q. Did you authorize it?
25	320	
26		
27	319	value of the shares of Trans Commercial
28		Acceptance Limited?
29		A. I was not.
30	Th:	is page generously brought to you by Gary Lake

1	320	Q. On March 4th 1965, Associa ed Canadian
2		Holdings Li ited borrowed 4,000 shares of Atlantic
3		Acceptance Corporation Limited and pledged them
4		with Barrett, Goodfellow & Company. Barrett,
5		Goodfellow & Company then advanced \$100,000.00
6	ON THE STATE OF TH	cash to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited.
7		Were you aware of this transaction?
8		A. They did what? They bought 4,000
9	357	Q. 4,000 shares of Atlantic Acceptance
10		Corporation Limited were pledged with Barrett,
11		Goodfellow by Associated Canadian Holdings
12	The state of the s	Limited.
13		A. Where did they get them? Did they
14		buy them on the open market?
15	322	Q. I understood they were part of the
16		shares of Atlantic Acceptance Corporation Limited
17		owned by the Hugo Oppenheimbank in Berlin.
18		A. I know nothing of this transaction,
19		or this loan.
20	323	Q. The \$100,000.00 was received from
21		Barrett, Goodfellow & Company and I understand
22		It was used for the investments made by
23		Associated Canadian Holdings Limited to L.B.H.
24		Management Limited. Were you aware of that?
25		A. I was aware that \$100,000.00 was put
26		into L.B.H. Management Company in Grand Bahama,
		but I was of the opinion that this was a personal
27		investment of Mr. Tramiel. In fact, Mr. Tramiel
28		gave a personal cheque to the bank in the
29		Esas a belaciar anadan
30		

concerning

1		Bahamas for this investment and this cheque
2		
į		was returned n.s.f. The first time I found
3		Out it was an investment of Associated was after
4		Atlantic's default and Mr. Bidell had told me
5	i !	that this cheque had been returned and had been
6	•	replaced by a cheque of Associated Canadian
7		Holdings.
8	324	Q. On March 2nd, 1965, Associated Canadian
9		Holdings Limited issued a cheque to Jack Tramiel
10		for \$100,000 co. Did you authorize this cheque
11		oo be issued?
12		A. I did not.
13	325	Q. Were you award of the fact the cheque
14		was being issued?
15		A I was not aware of it.
16	32 6	€. This cheque appears to be signed by
17		Mr. Kapp and Mr. Wagman. Did you give Mr.
18		Wagman any instructions regarding the issuing
19		of this chaque?
20		A. Mr. Tramiel and Mr. Kapp ran Associated
21		Canadian Holdings Limited, authorized the
22		issuance and signatures on all the cheques.
23	327	G. When I questioned Mr. Tramiel concerning
24		this transaction, he stated that the \$100,000.00
25		chaque which I have previously referred to dated
26		March 2nd 1965, was issued to him to pay it in
27		for shares in the Lucayan Beach Hotel Management
28		Corporation. I then asked
29		"Why wasn't the cheque issued directly
30		

4	
1	to L.B.H. Management Limited by
2	Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?"
3	His answer was,
4	"I believe the only reason would be
5	because through the Corporation directly
6	to do it as fast because Mr. Morgan
7	
8	wented it done immediately. It would
9	involve much more paper work.
10	Is that true?
	A. I can't say where it would make any
11	more paper work than to have done what they did.
12	I would think it would be more paper work to
13	issue a chaque to him personally.
14	328 Q. My next question was
15	"In what way? I don't understand why
16	it would involve more paper work for
17	them to issue a cheque to you and you
18	issue a cheque to L.B.H. when in fact
19	a chaque could be issued directly to
20	L.B.H. Management Corporation from
21	Associated Canadian Holdings?"
22	Then I carried on and asked him
23	"Who authorized this transaction?
24	A. Mr. Morgan."
25	A. I have already answered that question.
26	
27	
	Off the record discussion.
28	

29

30

1	BY MR. B	AIRD:
2	329	Q. Did you understand the \$100,000.00 to
3		be a personal loan by Associated Canadian Holdings
4	:	Limited to Mr. Jack Tramiel or did you understand
5		that Associated Canadian Holdings Limited were
6		purchasing 55,000 shares of the Lucayan Beach
7		Hotel, in L.B.H. Management Limited?
8		A. I did not have any knowledge of the
9)	Gransaction. Perhaps the Clarkson Company might
10)	give you the shareholdings of L.B.H. Management
11		whether or not they were issued in Mr. Tramiel's
12		name, or in Associated Canadian Holdings' name.
13		I think they were Just advanced myself. I think
14		1t was just an advance to the company on an open
15		Joan.
16	330	Q. And you understand the advance to have
17		been made by Mr. Tramiel personally to the company
18		and not by Associated Canadian Holdings?
19		A. That's right, a personal loan to L.B.H.
20		Management Limited.
21	331	Q. How was Associated Canadian Holdings
22		Limited involved in the financing of the Lucayan
23		Beach Hotel Company Limited?
24		A. The Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
25		borrowed, I think, \$1,200,000.00 from British
26		Mortgage & Trust and in return for 200,000 shares
27		of Lucavan Beach stock in the form of temporary
28		financing and later those shares were re-offered
29		to the public under the Prospectus.
30	This	page generously brought to you by Gary Lake

1	Off	the record discussion.
2		
3	BY MR.	BAIRD:
4	335	Q. The books of amount indicate that
5		\$38,500.00 interest was paid to British Mortage
6		& Trust Company. Was this your understanding?
7		A. This was correct.
8	333	O. Who was to pay the interest?
9		A. The hotel company.
10	334	Q. And on July 15th, 1964 \$50,000.00 was
11		paid to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
12		by Daylite Grand Bahamas?
13		A. This was charged to the hotel company
14		and remitted to Associated to cover their
15		interest and the last of them sold and the shares
16		were offered to the public at, I think, a cent
17		a share.
18	33 5	Q. Barrett, Goodfellow & Capany appear to
19		have paid Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
20		\$1,093,000.00 being a loss of \$2,000.00?
21		A. Which is the one cent a share. That
22		is a transfer tax.
23	336	Q. There appears to be a profit in the
24		transaction of \$10,000.00?
25		That is correct.
26	337	Q. Was that profit what Associated
27		Canadian Holdings Limited were entitled to for
28		accommodating the Lucayan Beach Hotel Company
29		7 4 ml 4 mA?
_3() I	This page generously brought to you by Gary Lake

1		A. That is correct.
2	333	Q. Aurora Leasing Corporation Limited,
3		the same time, paid the Canadian Imperial Bank
4		of Commerce, \$1,210,000.00 - that is on July
5		15th 1964 and the notation on the back reads
6		Draft Barrett, Goodfellow & Company?
7		A. That is the purchase of that is not
8		at the same time as the other transaction.
9	339	^
10		Goodfellowis
11		Goodfellow's payment to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
12		Holdings Limited and appears to have been made
13		On July 15th 1964, one day later. Did Aurora
14		Leasing Corporation Limited purchase those
1.5		Eharse from Barrett, Goodfellow & Company?
16		beaancing bahama purchased
17	340	shares at \$6.05. Those were the public offering.
18	340	Q. And how were Aurora Leasing Corporation
19		Limited involved in that transaction?
20		A. I think the transaction was just a
		matter of accommodation at that time.
21	341	Q. Did Aurora Lassing Corporation Limited
22		loan Daylite of Grand Bahama the money for
23		their purchase of their shares from Barrett,
24		Goodfellow & Company?
25		A. I am not certain but I think they
26		did at that particular time.
27	342	Q. There is a letter in existence which
28	J-16	I don't have at the present time, which appears
29		to be a letter from you to Associated Canadian
30	m1- '	EO DE S'TEFEST TIME LA

1		Boldings	Limited dated May 20th 1964 advising				
2		that Associated Canadian Holdings Highted had					
3		Frances the loan by Trans World Mortgage to					
4		Dryline Orand Bahama.					
5		A.	To who?				
6	343	C.	Trans forld Mortgage, amount not				
7		Etated.	Can you tell me anything about that				
8		transact	icr?				
9		A.,	I am sorry, Mr. Beird, I don't recognize				
10		the name					
11	354	C.	The was Daylite Grand Bahama?				
12	ATT ATTENDED	£	Daylit: Grand Bahama was a subsidiary				
13		of Dalls	e (Toronto).				
14	345	€,	Dalite (Canada) Limited?				
15	:	Ā.	Dalite (Canada) Limited				
16	3 45	Q.	Did you own any shares in Daylite				
17		Grand Ba	ihana?				
18	i () 	<u>.</u>	No, I didn't.				
19	347	Ğ.	Did you own any shares in Dalite				
20			Limited?				
21		L	Ho, I didn't.				
22	348	Q.	May were you accommodating Daylite				
23		Grand Ba					
24		Α.	Well, because I was interested in the				
25		financi	ng from the point of view of Commodore				
26			cceptance who had advanced substantial				
27			Dalite (Toronto) who did all the				
28			•				
29			ction work on the amployee housing and				
30		the Mar	ina and other items in the Bahamas.				
-							

1	3 49	Q. Mr. Morgan, on Abril 29th, 1964
2		Associated Canadian Holdings Limited purchased
3		from Commodore Business Machines, a 7% debenture
4		par value of \$250,000.00. I understand that
5		along with the debenture, there were share
6		warrants issued. There were 50,000 chare warrants
7		issued. Were you aware of that?
8		A. 50,000 warrants?
9	350	9. On the \$250,000.00 debenture?
10		A. "B" warrants or something. I am not
11		sure of the number, but I do know there were
12		cartain warrants insued, yes.
13		
14	Off	the record discussion.
15		
16	BY MR.	BAIRD:
17	351	Q. I have been advised, Mr. Morgan, there
18		were \$0,000 00 porth of share warrants issued
19		with the \$250,000.00 debenture. Of those, there
20		is a record of 18,750 warrants being given to
21		British Mortgage & Trust Company in consideration
22		for their loan. Can you tall me what happened
23		to the remainder of the share warrants totalling
24		31 , 250 °
25		A. They are and should be the property of
26		Associated Canadian Holdings Limited as of now.
27		
28	٨٠٠	the record discussion.
29	VII	PIRE LECALO OTRO MENTE DE LA CONTRA DEL CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DELIGIA DE LA CONTRA DEL LA CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DE LA CONTRA D
30		

1	BY MR.	BAIRD
2	352	Q. Who negotiated the transaction with
3		British Mortgage & Trust Company concerning the
4		loan to Associated Canadian Holdings Limited?
5		A. Mr. Tramial. At the same time, he
6		negdiated the loan on behalf of N.G.K. Investments.
7	353	Q. Did you have any negotiations with
8		Mr. Gregory of British Mortgage & Trust Company
9		concerning the loan?
10		A. Yes. Mr. Gregory consulted me about
11		the total ownell advance and I was aware of
12		negotiations taking place between Mr. Tradiel
13		and himself.
14	354	Q. Mr. Morgan, I would like to show you
15		a schedule prepared by Mr. Lando. I would
16		enter this as Exhibit 20. This schedule, I
17		am told by Mr. Lando, represents the location
18		of shares Owned by Associated Canadian Holdings
19		Limited as of December 31st, 1964. There are
20		certain items in this schedule I wish to ask
21		you about. Can you tell me why 13,000 shares
22		would be in the possession of Eastern and
23	The state of the s	Chartered Trust Company?
24		A. I believe that this number of shares
25		were there as collateral to a loan to Associated
26		that is a call loan to Associated which was
27		negotiated by Mr. Tramisl.
28	355	Q. There is no record on the books of
29	11	account of Associated Canadian Holdings Limited
_30		

1		
		of their being indebted to Eastern and Chartes
2		Trust?
3		A. I know there was a loan there. In
1		whose name it was taken out, I do not know.
5	356	9. The next item is H. Wagman for S.K.
6		which, I understand, means safekeeping re Kapp.
7		The amount is 21,500. Do you know why those
8		shares were being held by Mr. Wagman?
9		A No, I don't.
10	357	O. Did you know Mr. Wagman was holding
11		the shares?
12		A. No, I can't answer that properly. I
13		thought Mr. lagman=
14	358	Q. Mr. Wagman has not been able to tell
15		me why he held shares, or what happened to them.
16		The next Item is Adelaide Acceptance. Do you
17		know why 25,000 shares were in the possession of
18		Adelaide Acceptance Limited?
19		A. Those were collateral to a loan for
20		Valley Farm and they are an accommodation to
21		Valley Farm.
22	359	Q. Did Mr. Tramial authorize the pledging
23		of the shares Owned by Associated Canadian
24		Holdings Limited to Adelaide Acceptance Limited
25		for a loan to Valley Farm & Enterprises Limited?
26		A. This had been in existence for some
27		period of time prior to the taking over of
28		Associated in its full management since by
29		Mr. Tramiel and he was, I believe, aware they
30		THE A PROPERTY OF THE PERSON O

1		were there.
2	3 60	Q. Were those shares pledged with
3		Adelaide Acceptance Corporation Limited prior
4		to the incorporation of Associated Camadian
5		Holdings Limited?
6		A. I think Mr. Poultney can give you that
7		Information because this is of Valley Farms.
8		We talked about that yesterday.
9	361	Q. The next item is the Canadian Imperial
10		Bank of Commerce 49,349. Can you tell me why
11		the shares were lodged with the Canadian Importal
12		Bank of Commerce?
13		A. This was in connection with a loan.
14		They belong to Associated.
15	362	Q. Next item is Mercantile Bank 22,700
16		Do you know they those shares were lodged with
17		the Mercantile Bank?
18		A. They were there as collateral to a
19		loan by Associated.
20	3 63	Q. I understand at this time there was
21		no money owing at this time to Mercantile Bank
22		but rather the loan was given to Mr. Traniel
23		personally Here you aware of this?
24		A. No, but I knew there was a time when
25		he was indebted to the bank personally and
26		those shares were made to him as an accommodation.
27		
34	EYM	MIT NO. 271 Schedule prepared by Mr. Leads
30		representing location of shares owned

December 31st, 1964.

1	DY MR.	BAIFID:
2	364	9. The next them here is N.G.K. 17,6671
3		Tomath answer that question but the
4		resords of H.G.K. should very definitely
5		thom 1%.
6	365	Q. He. Morgan, I would like to show you
7		and enter as Exhibit Ol, a letter on the
8		letterhead of N.G.K. dated January 5th, 1965.
9		Man (his le bes at med by you on behalf of
10		M.G.K. Invog ments Limited?
11	The state of the s	A. I signed this latter and if this were
12		so, why 10 was a fact.
13	3 66	Q Did N.G.K. Investments Limited hold
14		17,667 charm of Commodore Business Machines
15		which belon ed to Associated Canadian Holdings
16		Limited or January 5th, 1985?
17		A. I would say, yes.
18	367	Q. Maere wore they?
19		A. It was probably in N.G.K. 's box at
20		that time. This was for balance sheet purposes.
21	3 69	Q. I am adrised that N.G.K. had pledged
22		17,667 shares of Commodore Business Machines
23		Limited with British Mortgage & Trust Company
24		as security for a loan. Were you aware of this?
25		A. Is that in connection with the 250,000
26		shares?
27	260	
28	369	torn one on Theory N.G.K. didnit
29		have shares that did not, in other words, that
30	7	This page generously brought to you by Gary Lake

1	belonged to Associated, had not accounted for
2	them. Those shares were loaned by Associated
3	to N.G.K. for collateral purposes in connection
4	
5	With the borrowing from British Mortgage & Trust
6	Company of the \$250,000.00 to purchase series "C"
7	debencures.
8	Who arranged this transaction?
9	A. It was between Mr. Tramiel and myself.
10	371 C. Mr. Tramiel approved the pledging of
	the shares in that fashion?
11	A. Pight.
12	
13	EXHIBIT NO. 21: Letter from N.G.K. dated January 5th
14	19 55.
15	DA RD DAZES
16	BY MR. BAIRD:
17	372 Q. Then the item C.P.M., which I understand
18	represents you, Mr. Morgan, 6900 shares. Did you
19	hold 6900 shares belonging to Associated Canadian
20	Holdings Limited as of December 31st, 1964?
21	A This item was in dispute and it
22	represented a reconciliation over all of the
23	transactions and I believe on that under those
24	slips of paper once before, it had been marked
25	as H. Wagman in trust, a difference of some
26	5800 shares. In the multiplicity of transactions,
27	there was a discrepancy of those 6900 shares and
28	we could never find where they had gone to. We
29	were only out 5814 shares at that particular time,

1		and this was 6900.
3	373	Q. You are referring to Echibit Number
3		R, and there is a reconciliation on the last
4		Page which shows 5,314 shares not accounted for
5		and the notation is to be charged H. Wagman in
6		trust. What does that mean?
7		A. It meant that all of the shares from
8		the time of their original issue through escrow,
9		were either in the names of individuals and they
10	!	were in Solomon's office, and where they had
11		been switched fromone company into another, or
12		one person to another person, there was a
13		discrepancy of 5,814 shares and the same thing,
14		at December, this had crept up to 6900 and Mr.
15		Lando charged it to my investigation that is
16		C.P.M. represents I was investigating the
17		whereabouts of those shares.
18	374	Q. But you don't have them in your possession?
19		A. Ro.
20	375	Q. And your wife doesn't have them?
21		A. No, we don't.
22	376	Q. Mr. Morgan, B.M. & T., I assuma,
23		represents British Mortgage & Trust Company
24		42,725 shares. Are those the 42,725 shares
25		we have previously discussed?
26		A. Exactly. They are in connection with
27		the series "B" debentures.
58	3700	a non-shares of Wise Unnale Visualis
50	377	Q. 5,000 Shares of Five Whosts Linited

1		Canadian Holdings Limited, I believe, in the fall
2		of 1964. Do you know why this was done?
3		A. I do not.
4	379	Q. Did you authorize it?
5		A. No.
6	379	Q. On July 10th, 1963, Associated Canadian
7		Holdings Limited took over the position of Dallas
8		Holdings Limited in Commodore Business Machines
9		shares, being 64,070 shares. Did you arrange
10		for this to be done?
11		A. Yes.
12	380	Q. On July 10th 1963, Associated Canadian
13		Holdings Limited took over the position of
14		Valley Farm & Enterprises Limited in Commodore
15		Business Machines' shares being 109,413 shares.
16		Did you arrange for this to be done?
17		A. I wanted to add to the other one up
18		here, that I did it in consort with Mr. Tramiel.
19		The same answer.
20	381	Q. There is an entry in the books H.W.
21		in trust. We previously discussed this. There
22		was a loan made on July 2nd 1963 when Associated
23		Canadian Holdings Limited bought 9,503 shares of
24		Commodore Business Machines for\$14,729.00.
25		The cheque in repayment of this loan of February
26		19th 1965 was deposited in the account of
27	-	Chartered Management Consultants Limited. Can
28		you explain this transaction?
29		A In July?
	Thi	s page generously brought to you by Gary Lake

PETER W. MCHUGH SPECIAL EXAMINER TORONTO

1		Commorce)Ŷ						
2		A.	I was one of them.						
3	386	Q.	Did you pay off the loan?						
4		A.	No, I didn't pay it off.						
5	387	Q.	Do you know who did?						
6		Λ.	I think it was Mr. Tramiel but I am						
7	not certain.								
8	398	Q.	Who were the other guarantors?						
9		Α.	Mr. Wagman, and Mr. Kapp, and Mr.						
10		Tramiel.							
11	3 39	Q.	I understand the Canadian Imperial						
12	- Project	Bank of Commerce transferred 23,249 shares of							
13		Commodo	re Business Machines to Guarantors who						
14		pald of	the loan. Were you aware of this?						
15		Α.	I knew he had transferred some shares						
16		but I di	ld not know the amount.						
17									
18	Off	the record	discussion.						
19									
20			MR. BAIRD: That's all.						
21									
22			see with						
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									

I hereby certify the foregoing to be the examination of CAMPBELL POWELL MORGAN, under Fection 5 121 of the Bankruptcy Act, taken in shorthand before me on the 26th day of January, 1966.

Certified corrects

Jean Pert

Chartered Shorthand Reporter

SPECIAL EXAMINER



ROYAL CUMMINESION

ATLANTIC	ACCEPT	ANCE	CORP	DRAT	ION	LIMI	TEB	
EXHIBIT	No.							

PER REGISTRAR

Preservation of this documentation was funded in February 2022 by: https://www.gofundme.com/f/help-uncover-commodore-history

The complete list of donors as of March 11, 2022 is included here, as typed on a 1960 Commodore Educator typewriter.

Earl Baugh Daniel Williams Lasse Lambrecht Jani Lammi Jeffrey Brace Steve Rasmussen Joachim Pileborg Paul Kitching Alexander Knabner Antti Kultanen R Isaac Garret Olds Jan Hering Axel Buerkle Georg Breydy Pieter Hollants Gary Lake Sandy Noble Andreas Micklei Corey Mark Eric Hill Timothy James Cook Jarkko Lehtola Vince Giannini Timo Juhala Derek Balling Paul Taylor Richard Beno David Gaj Kai Engelbrecht James Murphy

Ben Speakmon

William Glass

Keir Fraser Kevin Foss

Gregor Gramlich Uffe Jakobsen Gianluca Clos Mike Parker Donald Meyer Andre Bergei Fatrick Vanier Pal Risebrobakken Scott Johnson Stephan Ricken Mark Paul Corcoran Henrik Askaer Hansen Michael Myers Leigh L. Klotz Jr. Daniel Spreadbury Rowan Stewart David Guiot John Jones Nick Albright Stephane Desrosiers Bjorn U Faul Whelan Jon Ward Perrie Iles Anil Sharma Robert Quenet Herbert Bagnall Vitas Povilaitis Juha Joki Andrew Neilson Hector Juan Lopez Brian Ng Gerry Corcoran Kevin Bagnall